

Space Station Evolution Conference

Abstracts for Technical Sessions

South Shore Harbour Resort and Conference Center

Houston, Texas

February 6-8, 1990

(NASA-CP-10142) SPACE STATION
EVOLUTION CONFERENCE: ABSTRACTS FOR
TECHNICAL SESSIONS Abstracts Only
(NASA. Johnson Space Center) 90 p

N94-72177
--THRU--
N94-72231
Unclas

Z9/18 0010470

Sponsored by National Aeronautics and Space Administration

and hosted by the Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center

Houston, Texas

TL
334
S735
C65
1990

CONTENTS

Section	Page
SPACE STATION FREEDOM INTEGRATED R&D GROWTH	1 78
R.J. Saucillo and P.R. Ahlf, McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Company; B.D. Meredith, NASA Langley Research Center	
SPACE STATION TRANSPORTATION NODE CONCEPTS & ANALYSIS	2 79
William Cirillo, NASA Langley Research Center	
SERVICING CAPABILITY FOR THE EVOLUTIONARY SPACE STATION	3 80
Edward F. Thomas, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center; Edward G. Grems, III, and James E. Corbo, McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Company	
EVOLUTIONARY SPACE STATION FLUIDS MANAGEMENT	5 81
Steve Stevenson, NASA Lewis Research Center	
SPACE STATION LOGISTICS SYSTEM EVOLUTION	6 82
Michael W. Tucker, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center	
SPACE TRANSFER VEHICLE ACCOMMODATIONS AT TRANSPORTATION NODES	7 83
Uwe Hueter, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center	
A RADIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF SPACE NUCLEAR POWER OPERATIONS NEAR SPACE STATION FREEDOM	8 84
Steve Stevenson, NASA Lewis Research Center	
PLATFORM EVOLUTION STUDIES	9 85
Barbara A. Walton, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	
AUTONOMOUS POWER MANAGEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION	10 86
J. Dolce and J. Kish, NASA Lewis Research Center	
SPACE STATION MODULE POWER MANAGEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION (PMAD) SYSTEM	11 87
Bryan Walls, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center	
THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM (ECLSS) ADVANCED AUTOMATION PROJECT	12 88
Brandon S. Dewberry, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center; Ray Carnes, Boeing Computer Services/AIC	

Section	Page
"PI-IN-A-BOX": AN EXPERT SYSTEM TO ADVISE ASTRONAUTS DURING EXPERIMENTS	13 89
Prof. Laurence R. Young, Man-Vehicle Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology	12
REACTION CONTROL SYSTEM/REMOTE MANIPULATOR SYSTEM AUTOMATION	14 90
H. K. Hiers, NASA Johnson Space Center	
TEXSYS	15 91
John Bull, NASA Ames Research Center	
SUMMARY OF ASTRONAUT INPUTS CONCERNING AUTOMATION	16 92
David J. Weeks, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center	
ASSURING DATA TRANSPARENCY THROUGH DESIGN METHODOLOGIES	17 93
Allen Williams, Harris Space Systems Corporation	
SPACE STATION FREEDOM EXTRAVEHICULAR ACTIVITY SYSTEMS EVOLUTION STUDY	18 94
Mike Rouen, NASA Johnson Space Center	
DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM EVOLUTION STUDY	19 95
Katherine Douglas, NASA Johnson Space Center	
SPACE STATION THERMAL CONTROL SYSTEM EVOLUTION	20 96
Richard Bullock, Lockheed Engineering and Sciences Company	
EVOLUTIONARY SPACE STATION GUIDANCE NAVIGATION AND CONTROL (GN&C) STUDY	21 97
Jerry Kennedy, TRW, Inc.	
COMMUNICATION AND TRACKING SYSTEM EVOLUTION STUDY	22 98
William Culpepper, NASA Johnson Space Center	
STRUCTURAL DYNAMIC AND CONTROL CONSIDERATIONS FOR SPACE STATION TRANSPORTATION NODE CONCEPTS	23 99
Paul A. Cooper and J. Kirk Ayers, NASA Langley Research Center	
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM EVOLUTION	24 100
Paul D. Wieland, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center	

Section	Page
REAL-TIME DATA SYSTEM: INCORPORATING NEW TECHNOLOGY IN MISSION CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTS	25 ¹⁰¹
John F. Muratore and Troy A. Heindel, NASA Johnson Space Center	
TRANSITION FLIGHT CONTROL ROOM AUTOMATION	27 ¹⁰²
Curtis Ray Welborn, NASA Johnson Space Center	
A GENERAL-PURPOSE DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENT FOR INTELLIGENT COMPUTER-AIDED TRAINING SYSTEMS	28 ¹⁰³
Robert T. Savely, NASA Johnson Space Center	
PLATFORM MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (PMS) EVOLUTION	29 ¹⁰⁴
Mike Tilley, OMITRON, Inc.; Jonathan Hartley, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	
AUTOMATED PLATFORM MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SCHEDULING	30 ¹⁰⁵
Larry G. Hull, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	
CONCEPTS IN DISTRIBUTED PLANNING, SCHEDULING AND CONTROL	31 ¹⁰⁶
Dr. Elaine Hansen, University of Colorado; Larry Hull, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	
OPERATIONS ANALYSIS FOR EVOLUTION STATION CONCEPTS	32 ¹⁰⁷
Karen D. Brender, NASA Langley Research Center	
VEHICLE PROCESSING OPERATIONS DATABASE	33 ¹⁰⁷
George G. Ganoe, NASA Langley Research Center	
ONORBIT ASSEMBLY/SERVICING TASK DEFINITION STUDY	34 ¹⁰⁸
Rick Vargo, McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Co.	
ADVANCED ROBOTICS FOR IN-SPACE VEHICLE PROCESSING	35 ¹⁰⁹
Jeffrey H. Smith, Jet Propulsion Laboratory	
ADVANCED AUTOMATION FOR IN-SPACE VEHICLE PROCESSING	36 ¹¹⁰
M. Sklar, Ph.D. and D. Wegerif, Ph.D., McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Co.	
SPACE VEHICLE DEPLOYMENT FROM SPACE STATION	37 ¹¹¹
Paul K. Henry, Jet Propulsion Laboratory	
GRAPHICAL ANALYSIS OF MARS VEHICLE ASSEMBLY	38 ¹¹²
Keven W. Lewis, NASA Johnson Space Center	

Section	Page
DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	39 ¹¹³
Katherine Douglas and Terry Humphries, NASA Johnson Space Center	
OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ADVANCED AUTOMATION: FAULT DETECTION ISOLATION AND RECOVERY PROTOTYPING	40 ¹¹⁴
Matt Hanson, Ford Aerospace Corporation	
OMS EVENT EVALUATOR AND SCHEDULER	41 ¹¹⁵
Richard E. Eckelkamp, NASA Johnson Space Center	
AUTOMATED SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT WORKSTATION (ASDW)	42 ¹¹⁶
Ernie Fridge, NASA Johnson Space Center	
TMIS - DESIGN KNOWLEDGE CAPTURE	43 ¹¹⁷
John H. Boose, Jeffrey M. Bradshaw, David B. Shema and Stanley Covington, Boeing Computer Services	
EVOLUTION PATHS FOR ADVANCED AUTOMATION	44 ¹¹⁸
Kathleen J. Healey, NASA Johnson Space Center	
ART/ADA AND CLIPS/ADA	45 ¹¹⁹
Chris Culbert, NASA Johnson Space Center	
KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEM VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION	46 ¹²⁰
Sally C. Johnson, NASA Langley Research Center	
INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS ENGINEERING METHODOLOGY	47 ¹²¹
Scott Fouse, ISX, Inc.	
SOFTWARE SUPPORT ENVIRONMENT DESIGN KNOWLEDGE CAPTURE	48 ¹²²
Tom Dollman, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center	
ADVANCED DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ARCHITECTURES TESTBED	49 ¹²³
Terry Grant, NASA Ames Research Center	
SPACEBORNE AUTONOMOUS MULTIPROCESSOR SYSTEMS	50 ¹²⁴
Alan Fernquist, NASA Ames Research Center	
THE ISES: A NON-INTRUSIVE MEDIUM FOR IN-SPACE EXPERIMENTS IN ON-BOARD INFORMATION EXTRACTION	51 ¹²⁵
Nicholas D. Murray and Stephen J. Katzberg, NASA Langley Research Center; Mike Nealy, Computer Technology Associates, Incorporated	
AUTONOMOUS CONTROL	52 ¹²⁶
Barbara Brown, McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Co. - KSC	

Section	Page
THE JET PROPULSION LABORATORY SHARED CONTROL ARCHITECTURE AND IMPLEMENTATION	53 ¹⁰⁷
Paul G. Backes and Samad Hayati, Jet Propulsion Laboratory	
JET PROPULSION LABORATORY/KENNEDY SPACE CENTER TELEROBOTIC INSPECTION AND MANIPULATION DEMONSTRATION	54 ¹⁰⁸
Brian Wilcox, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory; Leon Davis, NASA Kennedy Space Center	
ROBOTIC ASSEMBLY OF LARGE SPACE STRUCTURES	55 ¹⁰⁹
Ralph W. Will and Marvin D. Rhodes, NASA Langley Research Center	
SPACE STATION INTRAVEHICULAR ACTIVITY (IVA) PAYLOAD TENDING ROBOT	56 ¹¹⁰
E.C. Smith, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center	
VIRTUAL WORKSTATIONS AND TELEPRESENCE INTERFACES: DESIGN ACCOMMODATIONS AND PROTO-TYPES FOR SPACE STATION FREEDOM EVOLUTION	57 ¹¹¹
Michael W. McGreevy, Ph.D., NASA Ames Research Center	
TEJAS	58 ¹¹²
Michael Drews, Jet Propulsion Laboratory	

SPACE STATION FREEDOM INTEGRATED R&D GROWTH

R.J. Saucillo and P.R. Ahlf
McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Company
Engineering Services Division
Washington SE&I
Rockville, MD

B.D. Meredith
NASA Langley Research Center

✓
51-13
10-1-1
10-1-1
P-1

Abstract

Space Station Freedom is designed to be an Earth-orbiting multidiscipline research and development (R&D) facility capable of evolution to accommodate a variety of potential uses. One evolution scenario is growth to an enhanced R&D facility. In support of the Space Station Freedom Program Preliminary Design Review, the NASA Langley Research Center Space Station Office is analyzing growth requirements and evaluating configurations for this R&D utilization. This paper presents a summary of this analysis including time-phased growth plans, R&D growth issues and configurations, and recommendations for the program baseline design which will facilitate evolutionary R&D growth.

8-1
1-17-94
SPACE STATION TRANSPORTATION NODE CONCEPTS & ANALYSIS

William Cirillo
Evolutionary Definition Office
NASA Langley Research Center

Abstract

Accommodation of the new Human Exploration Initiative being developed by NASA requires Space Station Freedom to serve three major roles: first, as a facility for precursory technology development and life sciences research for both transportation systems and lunar/Mars outpost systems; second, as a transportation node capable of processing both lunar- and Mars-class transportation vehicles and their accompanying payloads. This processing includes all required initial vehicle assembly, mating, servicing, refurbishment, and repair. In addition, some amount of mission propellant may be stored and transferred on Freedom. Third, Freedom provides an ideal test-bed for developing operational techniques that are applicable to the Human Exploration Initiative missions.

The work performed under this task and presented in this briefing serves to define and prepare Space Station Freedom evolution in keeping with the mission needs stated above by

1. Defining Freedom transportation node evolution configurations consistent with user requirements and program constraints
2. Defining and incorporating baseline design accommodations (hardware "scars" and software "hooks") to satisfy evolution requirements
3. Identifying advanced technology that will enhance Freedom's capabilities and enables its evolution

SERVICING CAPABILITY FOR THE EVOLUTIONARY SPACE STATION

Edward F. Thomas
Simulations and Interface Manager
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Edward G. Grems, III and James E. Corbo
McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Company
Engineering Services

53-18
11-10-94
11-10-94
P. 2

Abstract

As NASA prepares to establish a permanently manned presence in space, a unique opportunity will exist, namely the ability to service user systems to extend their useful lifetime, thus providing a significant enhancement in the production of scientific data. Since the beginning of the Space Station Freedom (SSF) program the concept of on-orbit servicing of user hardware has been an integral part of the program implementation.

The user servicing system architecture has been divided into a baseline and a growth phase. The baseline system consists of the following hardware elements that will support user servicing - flight telerobotic servicer, crew and equipment translation aid, crew intravehicular and extravehicular servicing support, logistics supply system, mobile servicing center, and the special purpose dextrous manipulator. The growth phase incorporates a customer servicing facility (CSF), a station-based orbital maneuvering vehicle and an orbital spacecraft consumables resupply system.

The requirements for user servicing were derived from the necessity to service attached payloads, free flyers and coorbiting platforms. These requirements include: orbital replacement units (ORU) and instrument changeout, National Space Transportation System cargo bay loading and unloading, contamination control and monitoring, thermal protection, payload berthing, storage, access to SSF distributed systems, functional checkout, and fluid replenishment.

The baseline user servicing capabilities accommodate ORU and instrument change-out. However, this service is limited to attached payloads, either in situ or at a locally adjacent site. The growth phase satisfies all identified user servicing requirements by expanding servicing capabilities to include complex servicing tasks for attached payloads, free-flyers and coorbiting platforms at a dedicated, protected servicing site.

To provide a smooth evolution of user servicing the SSF interfaces that are necessary to accommodate the growth phase have been identified. The interface requirements on SSF have been greatly simplified by accommodating the growth servicing support elements within the CSF. This results in a single SSF interface: SSF to the CSF.

From these interface requirements the necessary "hook and scar" requirements for the baseline SSF have been identified. Hooks in the SSF Operations Management System are necessary for all the CSF subsystems while scars to the SSF distributed systems are necessary for the CSF structural & mechanical, electrical power, thermal control, fluid management, data management, and communication & tracking systems.

The requirements and capabilities to support the servicing of user hardware have been evaluated for both the SSF baseline and growth phases. At assembly complete only limited servicing for attached payloads can be accommodated. However, the servicing capabilities are greatly enhanced during the growth phase of the SSF through the addition of the growth user servicing elements. These enhanced capabilities satisfy all identified requirements. Evaluation of proposed SSF evolutionary configurations indicates that there is no impact to the servicing system architecture and no major impact to the implementation of this architecture.

EVOLUTIONARY SPACE STATION FLUIDS MANAGEMENT

Steve Stevenson
Advanced Space Analysis Office
NASA Lewis Research Center

54-18
NBS 0027
10-14
P-1

Abstract

The demand for and management issues associated with fluids usage at the evolutionary Space Station are examined. A variety of fluids such as N_2 , H_e , methane and rare gases for research and development activities as well as massive quantities of cryogenic propellants for geosynchronous orbit and planetary exploration missions will need to be accommodated at the Space Station. A data base of fluid types, quantities, and projected usage schedules suggested, and potential accommodation concepts defined. Impacts to the Space Station operational configuration and necessary "hooks and scars" to be included in the baseline design are addressed. Also presented is an operational scenario of the delivery of a cryogenic propellant tank set to the Space Station, attachment to the Space Station, and propellant transfer to and launch of a space transfer vehicle (STV). Results indicate that the cryogenic propellant requirements of STV and lunar missions may be met by tank sets attached to the Space Station, but those of Mars missions would require off-station methods.

SPACE STATION LOGISTICS SYSTEM EVOLUTION

Michael W. Tucker
Program Development
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

Abstract

This task investigates logistics requirements and logistics system concepts for the evolutionary Space Station. Requirements for the basic station, crew, user equipment, and free-flying platforms, as requirements for manned exploration initiative elements and crews while at the Space Station. Data is provided which assesses the ability of the Space Station Freedom logistics carriers to accommodate the logistics loads per year. Also, advanced carrier concepts are defined and assessed against the logistics requirements. The implications on Earth-to-orbit vehicles of accommodating the logistics requirements, using various types of carriers, are assessed on a year by year basis.

SPACE TRANSFER VEHICLE ACCOMMODATIONS AT
TRANSPORTATION NODES

Uwe Hueter
Program Development
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

56-16
11/15/84
10/17/86

P. 1

Abstract

This task identifies requirements for accommodation of space transfer vehicles (STVs) at orbital transportation nodes. Requirements are based on mission models which include high energy missions and human exploration initiatives. Study data includes results of trade studies evaluating STV accommodations concepts at Space Station nodes and at alternative free-flying nodes. Data and scars on the Phase One Space Station Freedom to allow growth to the accommodation concepts are identified.

57-18
11-1-87
11-1-87

A RADIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF SPACE NUCLEAR POWER OPERATIONS NEAR SPACE STATION FREEDOM

Steve Stevenson
Advanced Space Analysis Office
NASA Lewis Research Center

P-1

Abstract

In order to accomplish NASA's more ambitious exploration goals, nuclear reactors may be used in the vicinity of Space Station Freedom (SSF) either as power sources for coorbiting platforms or as part of the propulsion system for departing and returning personnel or cargo vehicles. This study identifies ranges of operational parameters, such as parking distances and reactor cooldown times, which would reasonably guarantee that doses to the SSF crew from all radiation sources would be below guidelines recently recommended by the National Council of Radiation Protection and Measurements. The specific scenarios considered include:

1. the launch and return of a nuclear electric propulsion vehicle,
2. the launch and return of a nuclear thermal rocket vehicle,
3. the operation of an SP-100 class reactor on a coorbiting platform,
4. the activation of materials near operating reactors,
5. the storage and handling of radioisotope thermal generator units, and
6. the storage and handling of fresh and previously operated reactors.

Portable reactor shield concepts were examined for relaxing the operational constraints imposed by unshielded (for human proximity operations) reactors and that might also be used to provide additional SSF crew protection from natural background radiation.

PLATFORM EVOLUTION STUDIES

Barbara A. Walton
Platform Instrument Manager
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

12-2-89
1989
P-1

Abstract

The polar orbiting platform (POP), being developed by the Work Package 3 (WP3) Project at the Goddard Space Flight Center, will play a key role in the NASA Leadership Initiative, Mission to Planet Earth (MPE). It becomes, with the addition of payloads, an Earth observation satellite observatory.

Mission to Planet Earth also has geostationary platforms (GEOP) as part of its global observational system. A study was begun in March 1988 to assess the applicability of the POP orbital replacement units (ORUs) for a geostationary Earth observing mission. Two test cases, representative of MPE payloads, were studied. Case A was used to emphasize the GEOP configuration and design; it used a Titan/Centaur to achieve orbit. Case B, considered to be much further in the future, included some assembly at the Space Station Freedom manned base and use of an orbital transfer vehicle to achieve orbit; requirements on the manned base to support such a mission were emphasized.

The study found the POP systems more than adequate to meet GEOP requirements. Two types of changes were required for the POP ORUs:

1. modification to use only one surface for heat rejection; for the battery ORU, this meant 'opening up' the ORU to retain the radiator area with a corresponding decrease in depth, and
2. deletion of equipment not needed.

The Case A configuration was shown to be within the planned capability of the Titan IV/Centaur. Assembly requirements were included for the Case B configuration, which is driven by the large microwave antennas of two of the payloads. The final review was April 19, 1989.

AUTONOMOUS POWER MANAGEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION

J. Dolce and J. Kish
NASA Lewis Research Center

Abstract

The goal of the Autonomous Power System program is to develop and apply intelligent problem solving and control to the Space Station Freedom's electric power testbed being developed at NASA's Lewis Research Center. Objectives are to establish artificial intelligence technology paths, craft knowledge-based tools and products for power systems, and integrate knowledge-based and conventional controllers.

This program represents a joint effort between the Space Station and Office of Aeronautics and Space Technology to develop and demonstrate space electric power automation technology capable of:

1. detection and classification of system operating status,
2. diagnosis of failure causes, and
3. cooperative problem solving for power scheduling and failure recovery.

Program details, status, and plans will be presented.

SPACE STATION MODULE POWER MANAGEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION (PMAD) SYSTEM

Bryan Walls
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

5/10/89
125 541
P-1

Abstract

This project consists of several tasks which are unified toward experimentally demonstrating the operation of a highly autonomous, user-supportive power management and distribution system for Space Station Freedom (SSF) habitation/laboratory modules. This goal will be extended to a demonstration of autonomous, cooperative power system operation for the whole SSF power system through a joint effort with NASA's Lewis Research Center, using their Autonomous Power System.

Short term goals for the space station module power management and distribution include having an operational breadboard reflecting current plans for SSF, improving performance of the system communications, and improving the organization and mutability of the artificial intelligence (AI) systems. In the middle term, intermediate levels of autonomy will be added, user interfaces will be modified, and enhanced modeling capabilities will be integrated in the system. Long term goals involve conversion of all software into Ada, vigorous verification and validation efforts and, finally, seeing an impact of this research on the operation of SSF.

Conversion of the system to a DC Star configuration is now in progress, and should be completed by the end of October, 1989. This configuration reflects the latest SSF module architecture. Hardware is now being procured which will improve system communications significantly. The Knowledge-Based Management System (KBMS) is initially developed and the rules from FRAMES have been implemented in the KBMS. Rules in the other two AI systems are also being grouped modularly, making them more tractable, and easier to eventually move into the KBMS. Adding an intermediate level of autonomy will require development of a planning utility, which will also be built using the KBMS. These changes will require having the user interface for the whole system available from one interface. An Enhanced Model will be developed, which will allow exercise of the system through the interface without requiring all of the power hardware to be operational. The functionality of the AI systems will continue to be advanced, including incipient failure detection. Ada conversion will begin with the lowest level processor (LLP) code. Then selected pieces of the higher level functionality will be recoded in Ada and, where possible, moved to the LLP level. Validation and verification will be done on the Ada code, and will complete sometime after completion of the Ada conversion.

THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM
(ECLSS) ADVANCED AUTOMATION PROJECT

Brandon S. Dewberry
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

Ray Carnes
Boeing Computer Services/AIC

Abstract

The objective of the environmental control and life support system (ECLSS) Advanced Automation Project is to influence the design of the initial and evolutionary Space Station Freedom Program (SSFP) ECLSS toward a man-made closed environment in which minimal flight and ground manpower is needed. Another objective includes capturing ECLSS design and development knowledge for future missions.

Our approach has been to

1. analyze the SSFP ECLSS,
2. envision as our goal a fully automated evolutionary environmental control System - an augmentation of the baseline, and
3. document the advanced software systems, hooks, and scars which will be necessary to achieve this goal.

From this analysis, prototype software is being developed, and will be tested using air and water recovery simulations and hardware subsystems.

In addition, the advanced software is being designed, developed, and tested using an automation software management plan and lifecycle tools. Automated knowledge acquisition, engineering, verification and testing tools are being used to develop the software. In this way, we can capture ECLSS development knowledge for future use, develop more robust and complex software, provide feedback to the knowledge based system tool community, and ensure proper visibility of our efforts.

**"PI-IN-A-BOX": AN EXPERT SYSTEM TO ADVISE ASTRONAUTS DURING
EXPERIMENTS**

Prof. Laurence R. Young
Man-Vehicle Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts

512-54

11.1.10.1

10412

P.1

Abstract

Perhaps the scarcest resource for manned flight experiments - on Spacelab or on Space Station Freedom - will continue to be crew time. To maximize the efficiency of the crew, and to make use of their abilities to work as scientist collaborators as well as equipment operators, normally requires more training in a wide variety of disciplines than is practical. The successful application of on-board expert systems, as envisioned by the "Principal Investigator (PI)-in-a-Box" program, should alleviate the training bottleneck and provide the astronaut with the guidance and coaching needed to permit him or her to operate an experiment according to the desires and knowledge of the PI, despite changes in conditions. In addition to the functions of providing expert advice concerning scheduling and repair, the program should bring the astronaut into the scientific evaluation phase of an experiment by sharing with him the guidance and observations regarding the relevance and importance of data as it is being generated. Initial reaction from the astronaut community has been positive.

513-37
ARCON-9
1000

REACTION CONTROL SYSTEM/REMOTE MANIPULATOR
SYSTEM AUTOMATION

P-1

H. K. Hiers
NASA Johnson Space Center

Abstract

The objective of this project is to evaluate the capability of the Procedural Reasoning System (PRS) in a typical real-time space shuttle application and to assess its potential for use in the Space Station Freedom. PRS, developed by SRI International, is a result of research in automating the monitoring and control of spacecraft systems. The particular application selected for the present work is the automation of malfunction handling procedures for the Shuttle Remote Manipulator System (SRMS). The SRMS malfunction procedures will be encoded within the PRS framework, a crew interface appropriate to the RMS application will be developed, and the real-time data interface software developed. The resulting PRS will then be integrated with the high-fidelity Onorbit Simulation of the NASA Johnson Space Center's Systems Engineering Simulator, and tests under various SRMS fault scenarios will be conducted.

TEXSYS

John Bull
NASA Ames Research Center
Moffett Field, CA 94035

ABC only
/

P-1

Abstract

The Systems Autonomy Demonstration Project has recently completed a major test and evaluation of TEXSYS, a knowledge-based system (KBS) which demonstrates real-time control and FDIR for the Space Station Freedom thermal control system test-bed. TEXSYS is the largest KBS ever developed by NASA and offers a unique opportunity for the study of technical issues associated with the use of advanced KBS concepts including: model-based reasoning and diagnosis, quantitative and qualitative reasoning, integrated use of model-based and rule-based representations, temporal reasoning, and scale-up performance issues. TEXSYS represents a major achievement in advanced automation that has the potential to significantly influence Space Station Freedom's design for the thermal control system. An overview of the Systems Autonomy Demonstration Project, the thermal control system test-bed, the TEXSYS architecture, preliminary test results, and thermal domain expert feedback are presented.

SUMMARY OF ASTRONAUT INPUTS CONCERNING AUTOMATION

p-1
David J. Weeks
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

Abstract

An assessment of the potential for increased productivity on Space Station Freedom through advanced automation and robotics was recently completed. Sponsored by the Office of Space Station, the study involved reviews of on-orbit operations experience documentation, interviews with 23 current and former astronauts/payload specialists as well as other NASA and contractor personnel, and a survey of 32 astronauts and payload specialists. Assessed areas of related on-orbit experience included Skylab, Space Shuttle, Spacelab, and the Soviet space program, as well as the U.S. nuclear submarine program and Antarctic research stations analogs. The survey questionnaire asked the respondents to rate the desirability of advanced automation, EVA robotics, and IVA robotics. They were also asked to rate safety impacts of automated fault diagnosis, isolation, and recovery (FDIR), automated exception reporting and alarm filtering, and an EVA retriever. The respondents were also asked to evaluate 26 specific applications of advanced automation and robotics related to perceived impact on productivity.

ASSURING DATA TRANSPARENCY THROUGH DESIGN METHODOLOGIES

Allen Williams
Harris Space Systems Corporation
Melbourne, Florida

516-12
GRS 2000
10436
P-1

Abstract

This paper addresses the role of design methodologies and practices in the assurance of technology transparency. The development of several major subsystems on large, long lifecycle government programs was analyzed to glean those characteristics in the design, development, test and evaluation that precluded or enabled the insertion of new technology. The programs examined were Minuteman, DSP, B1-B, and space shuttle. All these were long lifecycle, technology-intensive programs. The design methodologies (or lack thereof) and design practices for each were analyzed in terms of the success or failure in incorporating evolving technology. Common elements contributing to the success or failure were extracted and compared to current methodologies being proposed by the Department of Defense and NASA. The relevance of these practices to the design and deployment of Space Station Freedom were evaluated. In particular, appropriate methodologies now being used on the Core development contract were examined.

SPACE STATION FREEDOM EXTRAVEHICULAR ACTIVITY SYSTEMS
EVOLUTION STUDY

Mike Rouen
NASA Johnson Space Center

Abstract

Evaluation of Space Station Freedom (SSF) support of manned exploration is in progress to identify SSF extravehicular activity (EVA) system evolution requirements and capabilities. The output from these studies will provide data to support the preliminary design process to ensure that Space Station EVA system requirements for future missions (including the transportation node) are adequately considered and reflected in the baseline design. The study considers SSF support of future missions and the EVA system baseline to determine adequacy of EVA requirements and capabilities, and to identify additional requirements, capabilities, and necessary technology upgrades.

The EVA demands levied by formal requirements and indicated by evolutionary mission scenarios are high for the out-years of Space Station Freedom. An EVA system designed to meet the baseline requirements can easily evolve to meet evolution demands with few exceptions. Results to date indicate that upgrades or modifications to the EVA system may be necessary to meet the full range of EVA thermal environments associated with the transportation node. Work continues to quantify the EVA capability in this regard. Evolution mission scenarios with EVA in and around unshielded nuclear propulsion engines are inconsistent with anthropomorphic EVA capabilities.

DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM EVOLUTION STUDY

Katherine Douglas
NASA Johnson Space Center

Abstract

Hardware and software products, and technologies that are available for implementation in the early Space Station Data Management system (DMS) design are expected to have limited capabilities in such areas as system performance, capacity, and throughput. With the anticipated growth in operations and payload user requirements during the Space Station's operational phase, a knowledge base of technological advancements and their possible incorporation into the DMS design will be maintained.

This paper will address system growth and technology insertion issues for DMS design and development.

519-64
PRC 51247
16489

N94-72196

SPACE STATION THERMAL CONTROL SYSTEM EVOLUTION

Richard Bullock
Lockheed Engineering and Sciences Company
Houston, Texas

Abstract

The thermal control system (TCS) for the Space Station assembly complete configuration includes a two-phase central thermal bus with a supplemental body mounted radiator system. Evolution of the Space Station from a heat rejection capacity of 75 kW to 300 kW will require scars to expand the thermal fluid distribution network, equipment replacement to enable greater thermal transport capacity, and enlargement of the heat rejection subsystem for increased heat rejection. The TCS requirements for assembly complete and growth are presented, along with a review of the basic structure of the active and passive thermal control systems which include provisions for growth.

EVOLUTIONARY SPACE STATION GUIDANCE NAVIGATION
AND CONTROL (GN&C) STUDY

Jerry Kennedy
TRW, Inc.
Avionics Systems Division
NASA Johnson Space Center

520-18
100-1000
10490

P.1

Abstract

The Guidance, Navigation and Control (GN&C) techniques and equipment to support evolutionary Space Station concepts were analyzed. Simulations of the evolutionary Space Station configurations and operational concepts were conducted to analyze the attitude control, reboost, and traffic management accommodation requirements. A summary of the mission concepts, Space Station configurations, simulation results, and the impacts on the baseline GN&C systems are presented.

221-32
ABSEN

N94-72198

10/1/1
P-1
COMMUNICATION AND TRACKING SYSTEM EVOLUTION STUDY

William Culpepper
NASA Johnson Space Center

Abstract

The communications & tracking (C&T) techniques and equipment to support evolutionary Space Station concepts are being analyzed. Evolutionary Space Station configurations and operational concepts were used in the analysis to derive the results to date. A description of the C&T system based on future capability needs is presented. Included are the "hooks and scars" currently identified to support the future growth. Technology transparency and impact of growth on other systems are also addressed.

STRUCTURAL DYNAMIC AND CONTROL CONSIDERATIONS FOR SPACE
STATION TRANSPORTATION NODE CONCEPTSPaul A. Cooper and J. Kirk Ayers
NASA Langley Research Center522-13
1985 01147

10-19-92

P-1

Abstract

This paper discusses the results of two studies which investigated the expected low frequency dynamic characteristics of conceptual Mars and lunar transportation nodes. Both concepts are based on evolution of the assembly-complete Space Station Freedom configuration by the addition of keels, booms and modules. Finite-element models of the concepts were developed and a set of undamped modes and frequencies was computed below 2 Hz for each concept. The modes were used as basis vectors for modal analyses describing the dynamic response of the structures to typical reboost maneuvers. The reboost maneuvers had fairly complex loading characteristics since the reaction control system (RCS) jets used for the maneuvers were off-modulated to control pitch and yaw attitude,. Interaction of the dynamic response of the structure with the closed-loop attitude control system is investigated for both concepts. The elastic response in the solar dynamic region is investigated to evaluate the severity of the dynamic environment, since the solar dynamic systems have stringent sun-pointing requirements which must be maintained during the reboost maneuver.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM EVOLUTION

Paul D. Wieland
Environmental Control and Life Support Branch
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

Abstract

Space Station growth scenarios were reviewed and environmental control and life support system (ECLSS) growth requirements and ECLSS-driven constraints were derived. A broad range of advanced ECLSS technologies were evaluated for their potential of being developed for flight use. Based on these evaluations the "hooks and scars" needed to accommodate subsystems based on the technologies were defined with particular attention to the fluid and electrical interface requirements.

REAL-TIME DATA SYSTEM: INCORPORATING NEW TECHNOLOGY IN MISSION CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTS

John F. Muratore
Troy A. Heindel
NASA Johnson Space Center

504-32
1105 11-7
13 217
p-2

Abstract

If the Space Station Freedom is to remain viable over its 30-year life span, it must be able to incorporate new information systems technologies. These technologies are necessary to enhance mission effectiveness and to enable new NASA missions, such as supporting the Lunar-Mars Initiative. Hi-definition television (HDTV), neural nets, model-based reasoning, advanced languages, CPU designs, and computer networking standards are areas which have been forecasted to make major strides in the next 30 years. A major challenge to NASA is to bring these technologies online without compromising mission safety. In past programs, NASA managers have been understandably reluctant to rely on new technologies for mission critical activities until they are proven in noncritical areas. NASA must develop strategies to allow inflight confidence building and migration of technologies into the trusted tool base.

NASA has successfully met this challenge and developed a winning strategy in the Space Shuttle Mission Control Center. This facility, which is clearly among NASA's most critical, is based on 1970's mainframe architecture. Changes to the mainframe are very expensive due to the extensive testing required to prove that changes do not have unanticipated impact on critical processes. Systematic improvement efforts in this facility have been delayed due to this "risk to change".

In the Real-Time Data System (RTDS) we have introduced a network of engineering computer workstations which run in parallel to the mainframe system. These workstations are located next to flight controller operating positions in Mission Control and, in some cases, the display units are mounted in the traditional mainframe consoles.

This system incorporates several major improvements over the mainframe consoles, including automated fault detection by real-time expert systems and color graphic animated schematics of subsystems driven by real-time telemetry. The workstations have the capability of recording telemetry data and providing "instant replay" for flight controllers. RTDS also provides unique graphics animated by real-time telemetry such as workstation emulation of the Shuttle's flight instruments and display of the remote manipulator system (RMS) position. These systems have been used successfully as prime operational tools since STS-26, and have supported seven Shuttle missions.

Elements of the RTDS strategy that have made it successful include:

1. Isolation of new technology efforts from critical systems
2. Connectivity to real-time data
3. Ability to generate results in real-time
4. Physical proximity to users in an operational environment
5. "Firewalling" the system to isolate the effects of change and allow rapid prototyping
6. Electronic access to spacecraft configuration design information

TRANSITION FLIGHT CONTROL ROOM AUTOMATION

Curtis Ray Welborn
NASA Johnson Space Center

525-13
105 ONLY
P-1

Abstract

The Workstation Prototype Laboratory is currently working on a number of projects which can have a direct impact on ground operations automation. These projects include:

- The Fuel Cell Monitoring System (FCMS), which will monitor and detect problems with the fuel cells on the Shuttle. FCMS will use a combination of rules (forward/backward) and multithreaded procedures, which run concurrently with the rules, to implement the malfunction algorithms of the EGIL flight controllers. The combination of rule-based reasoning and procedural reasoning allows us to more easily map the malfunction algorithms into a real-time system implementation.
- A graphical computation language (AGCOMPL), is an experimental prototype to determine the benefits and drawbacks of using a graphical language to design computations (algorithms) to work on Shuttle or Space Station telemetry and trajectory data.
- The design of a system which will allow a model of an electrical system, including telemetry sensors, to be configured on the screen graphically using previously defined electrical icons. This electrical model would then be used to generate rules and procedures for detecting malfunctions in the electrical components of the model.
- A generic message management (GMM) system. GMM is being designed for real-time applications as a message management system which sends advisory messages to a user. The primary purpose of GMM is to reduce the risk of overloading a user with information when multiple failures occur, and to assist the developer in devising an explanation facility.

The emphasis of our work is to develop practical tools and techniques, including identification of appropriate software tools to support research, application, and tool building activities, while determining the feasibility of a given approach.

A GENERAL-PURPOSE DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENT FOR INTELLIGENT
COMPUTER-AIDED TRAINING SYSTEMS

Robert T. Savely
NASA Johnson Space Center

Abstract

Space Station training will be a major task, requiring the creation of large numbers of simulation-based training systems for crew, flight controllers, and ground-based support personnel. Given the long duration of Space Station missions and the large number of activities supported by the Space Station, the extension of space shuttle training methods to Space Station training may prove to be impractical. The application of artificial intelligence technology to simulation training can provide the ability to deliver individualized training to large numbers of personnel in a distributed workstation environment. The principal objective of this project is the creation of a software development environment which can be used to build intelligent training systems for procedural tasks associated with the operation of the Space Station. Current NASA Johnson Space Center projects and joint projects with other NASA operational centers will result in specific training systems for existing space shuttle crew, ground support personnel, and flight controller tasks. Concurrently with the creation of these systems, a general-purpose development environment for intelligent computer-aided training systems will be built. Such an environment would permit the rapid production, delivery, and evolution of training systems for Space Station crew, flight controllers, and other support personnel. The widespread use of such systems will serve to preserve task and training expertise, support the training of many personnel in a distributed manner, and ensure the uniformity and verifiability of training experiences. As a result, significant reductions in training costs can be realized while safety and the probability of mission success can be enhanced.

PLATFORM MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (PMS) EVOLUTION

Mike Tilley
OMITRON, Inc.

Jonathan Hartley
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

527-13
NBS-100-1
10487
P- 1

Abstract

In fiscal year 1988 a study was begun to define the platform management system (PMS) functions required for the mature platform operations era. The objectives of the task include:

1. defining how to increase the operational productivity of the platform by providing enhanced capability for responding to changing events,
2. influencing the initial PMS design by identifying required "hooks and scars", and
3. evaluating potential automation techniques that are appropriate given predicted onboard computing resources.

Initial platform operations scenarios were defined. The focus was on PMS-related functions where operations enhancements are likely to occur. Operations productivity was defined in terms of scientific productivity of the platform as well as the level of automation of the ground system. The Platform Operations Productivity Enhancement Report was completed earlier this year documenting system enhancements to increase science productivity and ground system automation.

Using the baseline PMS defined in the PMS Definition Document as a starting point, the resulting PMS-specific enhancements were molded into a sequence of progressively more sophisticated operations management capabilities. This sequence of upgrades to the PMS has been documented in a PMS Evolution Plan. The plan includes enhancements in the areas of resource scheduling, resource modeling, system and payload anomaly management, and transaction sequence interpretation. A plan for migration of functions from the ground portion of the PMS to the flight portion is also included. The impacts of this plan on the platform are now being documented to ensure that the required "hooks and scars" are included in the baseline system.

Future plans include a prototype of some of the PMS enhancements to address the feasibility of and techniques for implementing these enhancements in the onboard computing environment.

AUTOMATED PLATFORM MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SCHEDULING

Larry G. Hull
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Abstract

The Platform Management System (PMS) has been established to coordinate the operation of platform systems and payloads. Since platforms are to be out of contact with the ground more than the manned base, PMS functions are required to be more autonomous than those of the manned base. Automated replanning and rescheduling will be required to effectively and efficiently meet mission goals.

In a FY88 study, we developed a phased approach to automated PMS scheduling based upon use of the same scheduling engine in both ground and space components. In the past year, we implemented a baseline, first phase, PMS scheduler prototype. Presently we are integrating this scheduler into two NASA Goddard Space Flight Center testbeds, as the ground scheduler in the Scheduling Concepts, Architectures, and Networks Testbed and as the onboard scheduler in the PMS Testbed. We will investigate rescheduling issues, evaluate operational performance and enhance the prototype to demonstrate our evolutionary approach to automated PMS scheduling.

CONCEPTS IN DISTRIBUTED PLANNING, SCHEDULING AND CONTROL

Dr. Elaine Hansen
University of Colorado

Larry Hull
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

529-51
1135-5047
10417
P-1

Abstract

To support instrument and experiment operations effectively in the Space Station era, planning, scheduling, and control must allow for:

1. interactive real-time remote operations;
2. responsive scheduling and rescheduling;
3. support of the full range of distributed science, application and commercial users;
4. interaction and cooperation among distributed users; and
5. efficient use of often limited onboard, communications, and ground-based resources.

We suggest conceptual and managerial approaches that address these needs.

Specifically, we describe approaches to distributed planning, scheduling and control functions that are based on resources and on a distributed knowledge hierarchy. We describe the scheduling functions as the component of the integrated space-ground Operations Management System.

We include the integration of the planning and scheduling functions with the real-time operations control system, and we discuss automated scheduling assistants.

These suggested approaches, taken from the users' point-of-view, have resulted in two prototype systems: Operations and Science Instrument Support package and Science User Resource Planning and Scheduling System.

01111

OPERATIONS ANALYSIS FOR EVOLUTION STATION CONCEPTS

Karen D. Brender
Evolutionary Definition Office
NASA Langley Research Center

Abstract

This will be a brief overview of the analyses that have been conducted to study the operations of the evolution reference configurations and the Lunar/Mars Initiative Space Station configuration. In addition, plans for the next phases of operations analysis will be discussed. The presentation will also include a discussion of the products required from the Operations Evolution session.

VEHICLE PROCESSING OPERATIONS DATABASE

George G. Ganoe
NASA Langley Research Center

530-82
1135 ONLY
10000
p-1

Abstract

The Vehicle Processing Operations Data base (VPOD) has been designed and constructed to enable the collection and preliminary analysis of data relative to the processing of planetary exploration vehicles in low Earth orbit. VPOD has the capability to hierarchically store the events, assemblies, and equipment data needed for performing vehicle processing analysis, to whatever level of detail available. This permits some analysis to be performed even during the very early phases of vehicle and mission design. As more data about the events, assemblies, or equipment become available, the analysis can then be refined using the increased level of detail. VPOD output is based on a mission defined by selecting from the VPOD Events data base the events that are required to perform the desired tasks. The outputs available include total crew time, crew skill requirements, equipment needed, and assemblies worked per event, to any available level of detail.

The VPOD design is structured to interface with an operations simulation capability, which is currently in the requirements definition phase. The simulator will use information from the VPOD to perform operations analysis for missions being conducted in low Earth orbit. VPOD information, along with information from the science missions operations data base and a Space Station configuration data base, will be used by the simulator to provide a capability to study total system operations and resource requirements.

ON-ORBIT ASSEMBLY/SERVICING TASK DEFINITION STUDY

Rick Vargo
Study Manager
McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Co.
Kennedy Space Center Division

Abstract

The OEXP vehicles being envisioned to carry out the Presidential space goals of a lunar outpost and human exploration of Mars will require on-orbit assembly, refurbishment, checkout, and launch. The On-orbit Assembly/Servicing Task Definition Study applies the space vehicle processing experience and procedures archives resident at NASA's Kennedy Space Center (KSC) to determine the tasks, flows, and resources/facilities necessary to process the OEXP vehicles at Space Station Freedom (SSF). This data base is examined to find the closest analogies to OEXP vehicle components and assembly/refurbishment tasks. Transition tables are generated to provide traceability from KSC hardware processing experience to analogous on-orbit processing of the OEXP vehicles. Iterations in which the task flows are broken down into realistic extravehicular activity (EVA) primitive subtasks and times, and to apply automation and robotic technology to reduce crew risks and minimize EVA time, will enhance the value and accuracy of the predicted flows. These processing scenarios and the resulting resource/facility requirements are used to determine impacts to SSF, resulting in change requests to SSF requirements for provision of "hooks and scars" to evolve the assembly complete Space Station into a transportation node. Study results to date include assembly analysis of the Martin Marietta Phobos Gateway Vehicle, refurbishment analysis of the Martin Marietta Lunar Evolution Piloted and Cargo Vehicles, and assembly analysis of the Boeing Mars Evolution Vehicle. The results of this study will be accumulated into the vehicle processing operations data base for subsequent modeling, life cycle cost, vehicle growth, and SSF impact analysis.

ADVANCED ROBOTICS FOR IN-SPACE VEHICLE PROCESSING

Jeffrey H. Smith
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

532-37
RBS-0147
10502
P-1

Abstract

An analysis of spaceborne vehicle processing is described. Generic crew-extravehicular activity tasks are presented for a specific vehicle, the orbital maneuvering vehicle (OMV), with general implications to other on-orbit vehicles. The OMV is examined with respect to both servicing and maintenance. Crew-EVA activities are presented by task and mapped to a common set of generic crew-EVA primitives to identify high-demand areas for robot services. Similarly, a set of robot primitives is presented that can be used to model robot actions for alternative robot reference configurations. The robot primitives are tied to technologies and used for composing robot operations for an automated refueling scenario. Robotics technology issues and design accommodation guidelines (hooks and scars) for Space Station Freedom are described.

ADVANCED AUTOMATION FOR IN-SPACE VEHICLE PROCESSING

M. Sklar, Ph.D. and D. Wegerif, Ph.D.
McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Co.
NASA Kennedy Space Center

Abstract

The primary objective of this 3-year planned study is to assure that the fully evolved Space Station Freedom (SSF) can support automated processing of exploratory mission vehicles. Current study assessments show that required extravehicular activity (EVA) and to some extent intravehicular activity (IVA) manpower requirements for required processing tasks far exceeds the available manpower. Furthermore, many processing tasks are either hazardous operations or they exceed EVA capability. Thus, automation is essential for SSF transportation node functionality. Here, advanced automation represents the replacement of human performed tasks beyond the planned baseline automated tasks. Both physical tasks such as manipulation, assembly and actuation, and cognitive tasks such as visual inspection, monitoring and diagnosis, and task planning are considered. During this first year of activity both the Phobos/Gateway Mars Expedition and Lunar Evolution missions proposed by the Office of Exploration have been evaluated. A methodology for choosing optimal tasks to be automated has been developed. Processing tasks for both missions have been ranked on the basis of automation potential. The underlying concept in evaluating and describing processing tasks has been the use of a common set of "Primitive" task descriptions. Primitive or standard tasks have been developed both for manual or Crew processing and automated machine processing.

SPACE VEHICLE DEPLOYMENT FROM SPACE STATION

Paul K. Henry
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

534-15
125 10-7
534
P-1

Abstract

When launching a spacecraft from Earth parking orbit to deep space, it is highly desirable to have the hyperbolic excess velocity vector (v -infinity) contained in the parking orbit plane. Ground launches can force the parking orbit plane to contain the v -infinity vector by using launch azimuth and lift-off time as independent variables. When launching from the Space Station, a new set of variables comes into play. The Space Station orbit is of fixed inclination but precessing due to the Earth's oblateness. Its plane will seldom (and may never) contain the desired v -infinity vector. Consequently, the departure strategy will usually require multiple burns and include a plane change. Also, the concept of "launch window" will be somewhat different from Earth surface launches. An analysis of the deployment of interplanetary spacecraft from the Space Station is described, with emphasis on the effect of the trajectory characteristics on station operations. Several planetary mission types are analyzed, including manned Mars missions and unmanned high declination departures. The constraint of Space Station orbit nodal position is quantified and the operational implications for Space Station reboost strategy are examined.

535-37

AMS 00-1

10-75

P-1

N94-72212

GRAPHICAL ANALYSIS OF MARS VEHICLE ASSEMBLY

Keven W. Lewis
NASA Johnson Space Center

Abstract

An analysis was performed to support the production of a computer graphics videotape of the assembly of an interplanetary vehicle at a man-tended transportation node, co-orbiting with Space Station Freedom. Using data provided by the Transportation Node Integration Agent of the Lunar-Mars Exploration Office, a workable design of the transportation node was developed. Kinematic manipulator simulation tools were used to perform the operations necessary to complete the assembly task. Results of this analysis are documented in the aforementioned video.

DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT

Katherine Douglas and Terry Humphries
NASA Johnson Space Center

536-82
ABSTRACT
13208

Abstract

The Data Management System (DMS) Advanced Development task provides for the development of concepts, new tools, DMS services, and for the testing of the Space Station DMS hardware and software. It also provides for the development of techniques capable of determining the effects of system changes/enhancements, additions of new technology, and/or hardware and software growth on system performance.

This paper will address the built-in characteristics which will support network monitoring requirements in the design of the evolving DMS network implementation, functional and performance requirements for a real-time, multiprogramming, multiprocessor operating system, and the possible use of advanced development techniques such as expert systems and artificial intelligence tools in the DMS design.

OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ADVANCED AUTOMATION:
FAULT DETECTION ISOLATION AND RECOVERY PROTOTYPING

Matt Hanson
Ford Aerospace Corporation

Abstract

The purpose of this project is to address the global fault detection, isolation and recovery (FDIR) requirements for Operation's Management System (OMS) automation within the Space Station Freedom program. This shall be accomplished by developing a selected FDIR prototype for the Space Station Freedom distributed processing systems. The prototype shall be based on advanced automation methodologies in addition to traditional software methods to meet the requirements for automation. A secondary objective is to expand the scope of the prototyping to encompass multiple aspects of station-wide fault management (SWFM) as discussed in OMS requirements documentation.

OMS EVENT EVALUATOR AND SCHEDULER

Richard E. Eckelkamp
NASA Johnson Space Center

Abstract

An Operations Management System (OMS) is being designed for Space Station Freedom to improve productivity, reliability, and safety while reducing operations and maintenance costs. Central to the concept is a short-term plan containing mixes of man-readable and machine-executable procedures used in an environment of distributed processing and execution. An OMS event evaluator to check upcoming short-term-plan events for validity of execution is under development. The first version checks time and resource constraints against operational conditions for current or expected stations. In work are the handling of environmental and other operational constraints, as well as detailed modeling of resources and station operational states. The Ada program also has the ability to reschedule all or part of the events.

AUTOMATED SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT WORKSTATION (ASDW)

Ernie Fridge
NASA Johnson Space Center

Abstract

Software development is a serious bottleneck in the construction of complex automated systems. An increase of the reuse of software designs and components has been viewed as a way to relieve this bottleneck. One approach to achieving software reusability is through the development and use of software parts composition systems. A software parts composition system is a software development environment comprised of a parts description language for modeling parts and their interfaces, a catalog of existing parts, a composition editor that aids a user in the specification of a new application from existing parts, and a code generator that takes a specification and generates an implementation of a new application in a target language. The Automated Software Development Workstation (ASDW) is an expert system shell that provides the capabilities required to develop and manipulate these software parts composition systems. The ASDW is now in Beta testing at the Johnson Space Center. Future work centers on responding to user feedback for capability and usability enhancement, expanding the scope of the software lifecycle that is covered, and in providing solutions to handling very large libraries of reusable components.

TMIS - DESIGN KNOWLEDGE CAPTURE

John H. Boose, Jeffrey M. Bradshaw, David B. Shema, Stanley Covington
Boeing Advanced Technology Center
Boeing Computer Services,
Seattle, Washington

Abstract

The Boeing Advanced Technology Center is conducting research towards the goal of building a corporate memory facility that will provide techniques for capturing and using decision history and rationale throughout the lifecycle of a major NASA program. Currently, much of the information regarding decision alternatives and trade-offs made in the course of a major program development effort is not represented or retained in a way that permits computer-based reasoning over the lifecycle of the program. The loss of this information results in problems in tracing design alternatives to requirements, in assessing the impact of change in requirements, in assessing the impact of change in requirements, and in configuration management.

To address these problems, we are studying the problem of building an intelligent, active corporate memory facility which would provide for the capture of the requirements and standards of a program, analyze the design alternatives and trade-offs made over the program's lifetime, and examine relationships between requirements and design trade-offs.

EVOLUTION PATHS FOR ADVANCED AUTOMATION

Kathleen J. Healey
NASA Johnson Space Center

Abstract

As Space Station Freedom (SSF) evolves, increased automation and autonomy will be required to meet Space Station Freedom Program (SSFP) objectives. As a precursor to the use of advanced automation within the SSFP, especially if it is to be used on SSF (e.g., to automate the operation of the flight systems), the underlying technologies will need to be elevated to a high level of readiness to ensure safe and effective operations. Ground facilities supporting the development of these flight systems--from research and development laboratories through formal hardware and software development environments--will be responsible for achieving these levels of technology readiness. These facilities will need to evolve to support the general evolution of the SSFP. This evolution will include support for increasing the use of advanced automation. The SSF Advanced Development Program has funded a study to define evolution paths for advanced automation within the SSFP's ground-based facilities which will enable, promote, and accelerate the appropriate use of advanced automation on-board SSF. The current capability of the test beds and facilities, such as the Software Support Environment, with regard to advanced automation, has been assessed and their desired evolutionary capabilities have been defined. Plans and guidelines for achieving this necessary capability have been constructed. The approach taken has combined indepth interviews of test bed personnel at all SSF Work Package centers with awareness of relevant state-of-the-art technology and technology insertion methodologies. Key recommendations from the study include advocating a NASA-wide task force for advanced automation, and the creation of software prototype transition environments to facilitate the incorporation of advanced automation in the SSFP.

ART/ADA AND CLIPS/ADA

Chris Culbert
NASA Johnson Space Center

P-1

Abstract

Although they have reached a point of commercial viability, expert systems were originally developed in artificial intelligence (AI) research environments. Many of the available tools still work best in such environments. These environments typically utilize special hardware such as LISP machines and relatively unfamiliar languages such as LISP or Prolog. Space Station applications will require deep integration of expert system technology with applications developed in conventional languages, specifically Ada. The ability to apply automation to Space Station functions could be greatly enhanced by widespread availability of state-of-the-art expert system tools based on Ada. Although there have been some efforts to examine the use of Ada for AI applications, there are few, if any, existing products which provide state-of-the-art AI capabilities in an Ada tool.

The goal of the ART/Ada Design Project is to conduct research into the implementation in Ada of state-of-the-art hybrid expert systems building tools (ESBTs). This project takes the following approach: using the existing design of the ART-IM ESBT as a starting point, analyze the impact of the Ada language and Ada development methodologies on that design, redesign the system in Ada, and analyze its performance. The research project will attempt to achieve a comprehensive understanding of the potential for embedding expert systems in Ada systems for eventual application in future Space Station Freedom projects. During Phase I of the project, initial requirements analysis, design and implementation of the kernel subset of ART-IM functionality was completed. During Phase II, effort has been focused on the implementation and performance analysis of several versions with increasing functionality.

Since production quality ART/Ada tools will not be available for a considerable time, an additional subtask of this project will be the completion of an Ada version of the CLIPS expert system shell developed by NASA. This tool will provide full syntactic compatibility with any eventual products of the ART/Ada design while allowing SSFP developers early access to this technology.

KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEM VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION

Sally C. Johnson
System Validation Methods Branch
Information Systems Division
NASA Langley Research Center

Abstract

The objective of this task is to develop and evaluate a methodology for verification and validation (V&V) of knowledge-based systems (KBS) for space station applications with high reliability requirements.

The approach consists of three interrelated tasks. The first task is to evaluate the effectiveness of various validation methods for space station applications. The second task is to recommend requirements for KBS V&V for Space Station Freedom (SSF). The third task is to recommend modifications to the SSF to support the development of KBS using effective software engineering and validation techniques.

To accomplish the first task, three complementary techniques will be valuated:

Sensitivity Analysis (Worcester Polytechnic Institute)
Formal Verification of Safety Properties (SRI International)
Consistency and Completeness Checking (Lockheed AI Center)

During FY89 and FY90, each contractor will independently demonstrate the use of his technique on the fault detection, isolation, and reconfiguration (FDIR) KBS or the manned maneuvering unit (MMU), a rule-based system implemented in LISP. During FY91, the application of each of the techniques to other knowledge representations and KBS architectures will be addressed.

After evaluation of the results of the first task and examination of Space Station Freedom V&V requirements for conventional software, a comprehensive KBS V&V methodology will be developed and documented.

Development of highly reliable KBSs cannot be accomplished without effective software engineering methods. Using the results of current in-house research to develop and assess software engineering methods for KBSs as well as assessment of techniques being developed elsewhere, an effective software engineering methodology for space station KBSs will be developed, and modification of the SSF to support these tools and methods will be addressed.

INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS ENGINEERING METHODOLOGY

Scott Fouse
ISX, Inc.
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

544-13
101-107
103-14
P-1

Abstract

An added challenge for the designers of large scale systems such as Space Station Freedom is the appropriate incorporation of intelligent system technology (artificial intelligence, expert systems, knowledge-based systems, etc.) into their requirements and design. This presentation will describe a view of systems engineering which successfully addresses several aspects of this complex problem: design of large scale systems, design with requirements that are so complex they only completely unfold during the development of a baseline system and even then continue to evolve throughout the system's life cycle, design that involves the incorporation of new technologies, and design and development that takes place with many players in a distributed manner yet can be easily integrated to meet a single view of the requirements.

The first generation of this methodology was developed and evolved jointly by ISX and the Lockheed Aeronautical Systems Company over the past five years on the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency/Air Force Pilot's Associate Program, one of the largest, most complex, and most successful intelligent systems constructed to date. As the methodology has evolved it has also been applied successfully to a number of other projects. Some of the lessons learned from this experience may be applicable to Freedom.

SOFTWARE SUPPORT ENVIRONMENT DESIGN KNOWLEDGE CAPTURE

Tom Dollman
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

Abstract

The objective of this task is to assess the potential for using the software support environment (SSE) workstations and associated software for design knowledge capture (DKC) tasks. This assessment will include the identification of required capabilities for DKC and hardware/software modifications needed to support DKC. Several approaches to achieving this objective are discussed and interim results are provided:

1. research into the problem of knowledge engineering in a traditional computer-aided software engineering (CASE) environment, like the SSE;
2. research into the problem of applying SSE CASE tools to develop knowledge based systems; and
3. direct utilization of SSE workstations to support a DKC activity.

10516
ADVANCED DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ARCHITECTURES TESTBED P-1

Terry Grant
Intelligent Systems Technology Branch
NASA Ames Research Center

Abstract

The objective of the Architecture and Tools Testbed is to provide a working, experimental focus to the evolving automation applications for the Space Station Freedom data management system. Emphasis is on defining and refining real-world applications... including the validation of user needs, understanding system requirements and capabilities, as well as extending capabilities.

The approach is to provide an open, distributed system of high performance workstations representing both the standard data processors and networks, and advanced RISC-based processors and multiprocessor systems. The system provides a base from which to develop and evaluate new performance and risk management concepts, and for sharing the results. Participants are given a common view of requirements and capability via: remote login to the testbed; standard, natural user interfaces to simulations and emulations; special attention to users manuals for all software tools; and E-mail communication. The testbed elements which instantiate the approach are briefly described including the workstations, the software simulation and monitoring tools, and performance and fault tolerance experiments.

SPACEBORNE AUTONOMOUS MULTIPROCESSOR SYSTEMS

Alan Fernquist
Intelligence Systems Technology Branch
NASA Ames Research Center

Abstract

The goal of this task is to provide technology for the specification and integration of advanced processors into the Space Station Freedom data management system environment through computer performance measurement tools, simulators, and an extended testbed facility. The approach focuses on five categories:

1. user requirements: determine the suitability of existing computer technologies and systems for real-time requirements of NASA missions;
2. system performance analysis: characterize the effects of languages, architectures, and commercially available hardware on real-time benchmarks;
3. system architecture: expand NASA's capability to solve problems with integrated numeric and symbolic requirements using advanced multiprocessor architectures;
4. parallel Ada technology: extend Ada software technology to utilize parallel architectures more efficiently; and
5. testbed: extend in-house testbed to support system performance and system analysis studies.

THE ISES: A NON-INTRUSIVE MEDIUM FOR IN-SPACE
EXPERIMENTS IN ON-BOARD INFORMATION EXTRACTION

Nicholas D. Murray and Stephen J. Katzberg
NASA Langley Research Center

Mike Nealy
Computer Technology Associates, Incorporated
Hampton, Virginia

548-82
10-1-82
P-1

Abstract

The Information Sciences Experiment System (ISES) represents a new approach in applying advanced systems technology and techniques to on-board information extraction in the space environment. Basically, what is proposed is a "black box" attached to the spacecraft data bus or local area network. To the spacecraft the "black box" appears to be just another payload requiring power, heat rejection, interfaces, adding weight, and requiring time on the data management and communication system. In reality, the "black box" is a programmable computational resource which eavesdrops on the data network, taking and producing selectable, real-time science data back on the network.

This paper will present a brief overview of the ISES Concept and will discuss issues related to applying the ISES to the polar platform and Space Station Freedom. Critical to the operation of ISES is the viability of payload-like interface to the spacecraft data bus or local area network. Study results that address this question will be reviewed vis-a-vis the solar platform and the core space station. Also, initial results of processing science and other requirements for onboard, real-time information extraction will be presented with particular emphasis on the polar platform. Opportunities for a broader range of applications on the core space station will also be discussed.

AUTONOMOUS CONTROL

Barbara Brown
McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Co. - KSC
NASA KSC A. I. Laboratory

Abstract

Kennedy Space Center has been developing the Knowledge-Based Autonomous Test Engineer (KATE), a tool for performing automated monitoring, diagnosis, and control of electromechanical devices. KATE employs artificial intelligence computing techniques to perform these functions. The KATE system consists of a generic shell and a knowledge base. The KATE shell is the portion of the system which performs the monitoring, diagnosis, and control functions. It is generic in the sense that it is application independent. This means that the monitoring activity, for instance, will be performed with the same algorithms regardless of the particular physical device being used. The knowledge base is the portion of the system which contains specific functional and behavioral information about the physical device KATE is working with.

Work is nearing completion on a project at KSC to interface a Texas Instruments Explorer running a LISP version of KATE with a Generic Checkout System (GCS) test-bed to control a physical simulation of a shuttle tanking system (humorously called the Red Wagon because of its color and mobility).

The Autonomous Control System (ACS) project supplements and extends the KATE/GCS project by adding three other major activities. The activities include: porting KATE from the Texas Instruments Explorer machine to an Intel 80386-based UNIX workstation in the LISP language, rewriting KATE as necessary to run on the same 80386 workstation but in the Ada language, and investigating software and techniques to translate ANSI Standard Common LISP to Mil Standard Ada.

Primary goals of this task are (1) establish the advantages of using expert systems to provide intelligent autonomous software for Space Station Freedom applications, (2) determine the feasibility of using Ada as the run-time environment for model-based expert systems, (3) provide insight into the advantages and disadvantages of using LISP or Ada in the run-time environment for expert systems, and (4) compare the performance of the 80386 processor to a symbolic processor as a delivery vehicle for expert systems.

THE JET PROPULSION LABORATORY SHARED CONTROL ARCHITECTURE AND IMPLEMENTATION

Paul G. Backes and Samad Hayati
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

755-37
ABSTRACT
10000
P-1

Abstract

A hardware and software environment for shared control of telerobot task execution has been implemented. Modes of task execution range from fully teleoperated to fully autonomous as well as shared where hand controller inputs from the human operator are mixed with autonomous system inputs in real time.

The objective of the shared control environment is to aid the telerobot operator during task execution by merging real-time operator control from hand controllers with autonomous control to simplify task execution for the operator. The operator is the principal command source and can assign as much autonomy for a task as desired.

The shared control hardware environment consists of two PUMA 560 robots, two 6-axis force reflecting hand controllers, Universal Motor Controllers for each of the robots and hand controllers, a SUN4 computer, and VME chassis containing 68020 processors and input/output boards. The operator interface for shared control, the User Macro Interface (UMI), is a menu driven interface to design a task and assign the levels of teleoperated and autonomous control. The operator also sets up the system monitor which checks safety limits during task execution. Cartesian-space degrees of freedom for teleoperated and/or autonomous control inputs are selected within UMI as well as the weightings for the teleoperation and autonomous inputs. These are then used during task execution to determine the mix of teleoperation and autonomous inputs.

Some of the autonomous control primitives available to the user are Joint-Guarded-Move, Cartesian-Guarded-Move, Move-To-Touch, Pin-Insertion/Removal, Door/Crank-Turn, Bolt-Turn, and Slide. The operator can execute a task using pure teleoperation or mix control execution from the autonomous primitives with teleoperated inputs.

Presently the shared control environment supports single arm task execution. Work is presently underway to provide the shared control environment for dual arm control. Teleoperation during shared control is only Cartesian space control and no force-reflection is provided. Force-reflecting teleoperation and joint space operator inputs are planned extensions to the environment.

JET PROPULSION LABORATORY/KENNEDY SPACE CENTER
TELEROBOTIC INSPECTION AND MANIPULATION DEMONSTRATION

551-37
1705 0004
12 00 1

Brian Wilcox
NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Leon Davis
NASA Kennedy Space Center

2-1

Abstract

The goal of this effort is to demonstrate telerobotic inspection and manipulation of space shuttle payloads in the presence of substantial communications time delays between the operator station and the robotic work space. Processing of space shuttle payloads provides a variety of tasks which are typical of both space shuttle ground operations and Space Station in-flight operations, and communications time delays are inevitable in space operations where the operator station will be light-seconds away from the telerobot. With this demonstration we hope to show the efficacy and safety of robotic technology for ground and space operations.

Our approach is to develop an experimental telerobotic system with the remote sensing, actuation and reflex portions located at Kennedy Space Center (KSC) in Florida, while the operator control station will be located at Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) in California. The JPL portion of the system includes a high-level operator interface, intelligent spatial planning and machine vision, while the KSC portion includes the robot arm, end effectors, cameras and proximity sensors, and the necessary control and communications computers and software. The communications between JPL and KSC are over a limited-bandwidth network channel (19200 baud) with unpredictable and unrepeatable time delays.

In FY89 we integrated a basic version of the robotic, communications and computer hardware, and developed the software to perform an operator-supervised inspection of a PAM-D satellite upper stage rocket motor and its shuttle support cradle. The demonstration, though severely limited by the bulk of the available computer arm, showed the potential of telerobotics for inspection tasks.

In the future, we plan to develop additional capabilities which will allow manipulation tasks to be performed, including removal of dust covers and lens caps, insertion of connectors and batteries, and installation of payload objects.

ROBOTIC ASSEMBLY OF LARGE SPACE STRUCTURES

Ralph W. Will and Marvin D. Rhodes
NASA Langley Research Center

Abstract

The Automated Structures Assembly Laboratory (ASAL) has been developed at Langley Research Center for the purpose of identifying the problems associated with assembling large space structures using robotic manipulators, investigating systems and techniques applicable to such assembly tasks, and developing methodology for an in-space construction facility. The ASAL facility and its robotic manipulator system is described and a discussion of the initial series of assembly operations are included. The status of the system software development is summarized and an outline for follow-on testing and expanded capability is given.

omit

SPACE STATION INTRA-VEHICULAR ACTIVITY (IVA) PAYLOAD TENDING ROBOT

E.C. Smith
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

Abstract

The development of a ground-based robotic system to demonstrate intravehicular activity payload experiment tending is near completion. Results from system testing will assist in the ongoing planning for a flight demonstration system. Status and plans to date will be presented.

VIRTUAL WORKSTATIONS AND TELEPRESENCE INTERFACES:
DESIGN ACCOMMODATIONS AND PROTOTYPES FOR SPACE STATION
FREEDOM EVOLUTION

Michael W. McGreevy, Ph.D.
NASA Ames Research Center

553-18
11/15/94
1/22/95
P-1

Abstract

An advanced human-system interface is being developed for evolutionary Space Station Freedom as part of the NASA Office of Space Station (OSS) Advanced Development Program. The human-system interface is based on body-pointed display and control devices. The project will identify and document the design accommodations ("hooks and scars") required to support virtual workstations and telepresence interfaces, and prototype interface systems will be built, evaluated, and refined. The project is a joint enterprise of Marquette University, Astronautics Corporation of America (ACA), and NASA's Ames Research Center (ARC). The project team is working with NASA's Johnson Space Center (JSC) and McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Company (the Work Package 2 contractor) to ensure that the project is consistent with space station user requirements and program constraints. Documentation describing design accommodations and tradeoffs will be provided to OSS, JSC, and McDonnell Douglas, and prototype interface devices will be delivered to ARC and JSC. ACA intends to commercialize derivatives of the interface for use with computer systems developed for scientific visualization and system simulation.

TEJAS

Michael Drews
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
Pasadena, CA 91109

Abstract

The Telerobotics/EVA Joint Analysis System (TEJAS) is a hypermedia information system that provides a clear, consistent, repeatable, interactive, and exchangeable multilevel mechanism for telerobotics technology development planning, based on a realistic understanding of

1. Task description, assumptions, and open issues
2. Specific task primitives (derived from generic primitives)
3. Technologies required to perform on-orbit telerobotics
4. Planned telerobotics systems' use of those technologies
5. Forecast for each technology in terms of time and money

TEJAS was created in HyperCard on a Macintosh II personal workstation, with HyperTalk scripts providing action and relational capability. Version 1.0, consisting of more than a dozen interrelated files, will be released in January 1990.

AUTHOR INDEX

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Ahlf, P.R., 1 | Kish, J., 10 |
| Ayers, J. Kirk, 23 | Lewis, Keven W., 38 |
| Backes, Paul G., 53 | McGreevy, Michael W., 57 |
| Boose, John H., 43 | Meredith, B. D., 1 |
| Bradshaw, Jeffrey M., 43 | Muratore, John F., 25,26 |
| Brender, Karen D., 32 | Murray, Nicholas D., 51 |
| Brown, Barbara, 52 | Nealy, Mike, 51 |
| Bull, John, 15 | Rhodes, Marvin D., 55 |
| Bullock, Richard, 20 | Rouen, Mike, 18 |
| Carnes, Ray - 12 | Savely, Robert T., 28 |
| Cirillo, William, 2 | Saucillo, R. J., 1 |
| Cooper, Paul A., 23 | Shema, David B., 43 |
| Corbo, James E., 3,4 | Sklar, M., 36 |
| Covington, Stanley, 43 | Smith, E.C., 56 |
| Culbert, Chris, 45 | Smith, Jeffrey H., 35 |
| Culpepper, William, 22 | Stevenson, Steve, 5,8 |
| Davis, Leon, 54 | Tilley, Mike, 29 |
| Dewberry, Brandon S., 12 | Thomas, Edward F., 3,4 |
| Dolce, J., 10 | Tucker, Michael W., 6 |
| Dollman, Tom, 48 | Vargo, Rick, 34 |
| Douglas, Katherine, 19,39 | Walton, Barbara A., 9 |
| Drews, Michael, 58 | Walls, Bryan, 11 |
| Eckelkamp, Richard E., 41 | Weeks, David J., 16 |
| Fernquist, Alan, 50 | Wegerif, D., 36 |
| Fouse, Scott, 47 | Welborn, Curtis Ray, 27 |
| Fridge, Ernie, 42 | Wieland, Paul D., 24 |
| Ganoe, George G., 33 | Wilcox, Brian, 54 |
| Grant, Terry - 49 | Will, Ralph W., 55 |
| Grems, Edward G. III, 3,4 | Williams, Allen, 17 |
| Hansen, Elaine, 31 | Young, Laurence R., 13 |
| Hanson, Matt, 40 | |
| Hartley, Jonathan, 29 | |
| Hayati, Samad, 53 | |
| Healey, Kathleen J., 44 | |
| Heindel, Troy A., 25,26 | |
| Henry, Paul K., 37 | |
| Hiers, H. K., 14 | |
| Hueter, Uwe, 7 | |
| Hull, Larry G., 30,31 | |
| Humphries, Terry, 39 | |
| Johnson, Sally C., 46 | |
| Katzberg, Stephen J., 51 | |
| Kennedy, Jerry, 21 | |

Space Station Evolution Attendee List
Current as of Noon, 7 February

Abotteen, Kathleen M.	NASA/JSC		
MC:CA3	Houston	TX	77058
Adams, Anita	NASA/SSFPO		
10701 Parkridge Blvd., MC:	SSR Reston	VA	22091
Ahlf, Peter	McDonnell Douglas		
2092 Gaither Rd.	Rockville	MD	20850
Aievoli, Dominick	GE Astro Space Division		
P.O. Box 800, NP-2B	Princeton	NJ	08543-0800
Albjerg, Mariann	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Alcorn, George	NASA/GSFC		
Greenbelt Rd.	Greenbelt	Md	20771
Aldridge, Jack	McDonnell Douglas		
16055 Space Center Blvd.,	TB213 Houston	TX	77058
Ambrose, F.	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Ambrus, Dr. Judith	NASA/HQ		
	Washington	DC	20546
Anderson, Adlee	Lockheed		
PO Box 58561, MC: B18	Houston	Tx	77528
Anderson, Sandra V.	MITRE Corp.		
1120 Nasa Rd.1	Houston	TX	77058
Archard, Karen	NASA/JSC		
MC:DF	Houston	TX	77058
Armstrong, Richard	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Arndt, G. Dickey	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Arsenault, Michael	NASA/HQ		
	Washington	DC	20546
Atkinson, David J.	NASA/JPL		
4800 Oak Grove., M/S 301-490	Pasadena	CA	91109
Aucoin, Pat	Lockheed		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, C:19	Houston	TX	77058
Audel, Paul	Ford Aerospace Corporation		
12000 Aerospace Ave.	Houston	TX	77058
Austin, Frank H.	NASA		
10701 Parkridge Blvd. SSS-1	Reston	VA	22091
Auty, David	SofTech, Inc.		
1300 Hercules Dr., Suite 105	Houston	TX	77058
Backes, Dr. Paul	NASA/JPL		
4800 Oak Grove Drive	Pasadena	CA	91109
Baillie, Dick	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Baird, Jeffrey	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Baird, Scott	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058

Barber, Diana	NASA/JSC		
MC:DI	Houston	TX	77058
Barfield, Joseph	S.W.R.I.		
6220 Culebra Rd.	San Antonio	TX	78238
Barineau, Dan	KRUG International		
1290 Hercules, Suite 120	Houston	TX	77058
Barquinero, Kevin	NASA/HQ		
Code ST	Washington	DC	20546
Barron, Donald	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Bartholomew, Mike	Evans & Sutherland		
P.O. Box 8700	Salt Lake City	UT	84108
Basham, Bryan	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Baudendistel, Stephen	Computer Sciences Corporation		
16511 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058-2017
Beck, Beth	NASA/JSC		
MC:BA	Houston	TX	77058
Becker, F.J.	Lockheed Engineering		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Houston	TX	77058
Becker, Robert	Rockwell		
555 Gemini, MC: R16C	Houston	TX	77058
Beery, David L.	Computer Sciences Corp.		
16511 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Belansky, Michael	NASA/JSC		
MC:DG	Houston	TX	77058
Bell, Larry	NASA/JSC		
MC:TJ	Houston	TX	77058
Bell, Stuart A.	MITRE Corp.		
1120 Nasa Rd.1	Houston	TX	77058
Bennett, G.J.	Lockheed Engineering		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Houston	TX	77058
Bennett, W.P.	Lockheed Engineering		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Houston	TX	77058
Berry, Ron	NASA/JSC		
MC:FA	Houston	TX	77058
Bewley, William	ISX Corporation		
501 Marin St, #214	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
Biefeld, Eric	NASA/JPL		
4800 Oak Grove Dr. MC:301440	Pasadena	CA	91109
Bilardo, Vince	NASA/Ames		
	Moffett Field	CA	94035
Billica, M.D., Roger	Krug Intl.		
1290 Hercules Dr., Suite 120	Houston	TX	77058
Blair, Dwight	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Blalock, John	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Blanchard, Jeffrey G.	WYLE Lab., Applied Research Div.		
17629 El Camino Real, Ste.310	Houston	TX	77058
Bland, Daniel	NASA/JSC		
MC:IC	Houston	TX	77058
Blevins, Donald	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Blilie, Ron	Eagle Engineering, Inc.		
16915 El Camino Real, #200	Houston	TX	77058

Bogart, Timothy J.	Wyle Laboratories		
17629 El Camino Real, Ste. 310	Houston	TX	77058
Booker, James	NASA/JSC		
MC:VG	Houston	TX	77058
Boone, Bill	Martin Marietta		
1500 Perimeter Parkway, Suite 117	Huntsville	AL	35806
Boose, Dr. John	Boeing Advanced Technology Center		
PO Box 24346, MC: 7L-64	Seattle	WA	98124
Boozer, Jim	Oracle		
1221 Lamar, Suite 1175	Houston	TX	77010
Bostick, Jerry C.	Grumman Corp.		
12000 Aerospace Ave.	Houston	TX	77034
Boswell, Barry	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Bottomley, Godfrey	Boeing		
17047 El Camino Real	Houston	TX	77058
Bourgeois, Ben	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2100 Space Park Dr.	Houston	TX	77258-8561
Bourland, Deborah	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Bourne, Brad	Spar Aerospace Limited		
1235 Ormont Drive	Weston, Ontario	M9L 2W6	
Bourque, Donald J.	NASA/JSC		
MC:CA4	Houston	TX	77058
Bowman, J. Da	IBM Corp.		
3700 Bay Area Blvd., MC: 6272	Houston	TX	77058
Boyce, Joey B.	NASA/JSC		
MC:SD2	Houston	TX	77058
Bradshaw, Dr. Jeff	Boeing Advanced Technology Center		
Address unavailable			
Branscome, Darrell R.	NASA/HQ		
	Washington	DC	20546
Brantley, Kyle R.	Krug Intl.		
1290 Hercules Dr., Suite 120	Houston	TX	77058
Breed, Todd	Barrios Technology		
1239 Bay Area Blvd., #1307	Houston	TX	77058
Brekke, Michele	NASA/JSC		
MC:TC3	Houston	TX	77058
Brender, Karen D.	NASA/LaRC		
MS 288	Hampton	VA	23665
Brewer, Al	NASA/JSC		
MC: FS72	Houston	TX	77058
Brooks, Thurston	STX Corp.		
4400 Forbes Blvd.	Lanham	MD	20706
Brooksbank, William	USBI		
P.O. Box 1900	Huntsville	AL	35807
Brown, Barbara			
Address unavailable			
Brown, Robert H.	McDonnell Douglas		
16055 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77062-6208
Brown, Steve	MITRE		
1120 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Bruce, Tandy	NASA/JSC		
MC:TC3	Houston	TX	77058
Bryan, Ed N.	Boeing Aerospace Operations		
1045 Gemini	Houston	TX	77258

Buckley, Dr. Jay P.O. Box 800	GE Astro Space Division Princeton NJ 08543-0800
Bull, Dr. John	NASA/Ames Moffett Field CA 94035
Bullock, Dr. Bruce 501 Marin St., #214	ISX Corporation Thousand Oaks CA 91360
Bullock, Richard L. MC: EC211	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Bungo, Michael W. MC:SD5	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Bunkelberger, Bill P.O. Box 1900	USVI/William Brooks Bank Huntsville AL 35807
Burke, Thomas E. 1110 N. Glebe Rd., Suite 400	Decision-Science Applications, Inc. Arlington VA 22201
Butler, John Address unavailable	NASA/MSFC
Bye, Jeffrey	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Calef, Richard F. P.O. Box 8555	GE Astro Space Philadelphia PA 19101
Callegari, Andres C. 16511 Space Center Blvd.	Computer Sciences Corporation Houston TX 77058-2017
Cameron, Barry W. 17049 El Camino Real, Suite 202	Advanced Computing Solutions, Inc. Houston TX 77058
Camp, D. 1050 Bay Area Blvd.	GE Government Services Houston TX 77058
Campbell, P.D. 555 Gemini, D/332, ZC01	Rockwell International/STSD Houston TX 77058
Caplan, Beth MC:BA	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Caradec, Paul Stennis Space Center, Bldg. 1103	Lockheed NSTL STA MS 39529
Carblom, Debra NASA/JSC MC:KT	Canadian Space Agency Houston TX 77058
Carlson, Robert R. 333 South Hope Street, Ste. 2500	Mitsubishi International Corp. Los Angeles CA 90071
Cassidy, Jeffrey S. 1701 K St., N.W., 12th floor	The Egan Group Washington DC 20006
Cernosek, Gary 1300 Bay Area Blvd. TB2PAB	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Houston TX 77058
Chandlee, George 2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC: C95	Lockheed Houston TX 77058
Chester, Jim 1322 Space Park Drive	Ford Aerospace Corp. Houston TX 77058
Chilson, Lynn 1300 Hercules Dr., Suite 105	SofTech, Inc. Houston TX 77058
Chladek, John	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Christiansen, David 7800 Governors Dr. MC:13	Wyle Laboratories Huntsville AL 35807
Cichon, Paulette 3 Bethesda, Metro Center	Oracle Bethesda MD 20814
Cirillo, Bill	NASA/LaRC Hampton VA 23665
Clark, Dr. Benton C. P.O. Box 179 MC:B0560	Martin Marietta Denver CO 80201

Cleghorn, Tim	NASA/JSC		
MC:FR	Houston	TX	77058
Clifford, Steve	Lunar & Planetary Institute		
3303 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Cloutier, Donald	IBM		
1755 S. Jeff Davis Hwy, Ste. 600	Arlington	VA	22202
Coady, Eric	Dynamics Research		
1755 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Ste.802	Arlington	VA	22202
Cobb, C.	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Cohen, Dr. Aaron	NASA/JSC		
MC: AA	Houston	TX	77058
Coleman, Dick	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems		
1300 Bay Area Blvd. TA1PHI	Houston	TX	77058
Collet, Jacques	European Space Agency		
Address unavailable			
Collins, Mike	McDonnell Douglas		
16055 Space Center Blvd. MC:T6B	Houston	TX	77058-6208
Colombano, Dr. Silvano	NASA/Ames		
MS 266-17	Moffett Field	CA	94035
Compton, Michael M.	NASA/Ames		
MS: 244-17	Moffett Field	CA	94035
Comstock, Douglas	General Dynamics		
P.O. Box 85990 MZC1-8360	San Diego	CA	92138
Conley, William	Mitre		
1120 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Connolly, Dr. Dennis J.	NASA/LeRC		
21000 Brookpark Rd. MC:54-1	Cleveland	OH	44135
Cook, Jacqueline	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Cook, Stephen	NASA/HQ		
MC: ST	Washington	DC	20546
Cooper, Lynne	NASA/JPL		
4800 Oak Grove Dr. MC:301440	Pasadena	CA	91109
Cooper, Paul	NASA/LaRC		
	Hampton	VA	23665
Covington, Dr. Stanley	Boeing Advanced Technology Center		
Address unavailable			
Critzos, Chris C.	Harris Corp., Inc.		
114 Pine Shadows Dr.	Seabrook	TX	77586
Crouse, Kenneth	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Culbert, Chris	NASA/JSC		
MC:FR5	Houston	TX	77058
Culpepper, Bob K.	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Culpepper, William	NASA/JSC		
MC: EE	Houston	TX	77058
Cupitt, Dennis	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems		
1300 Bay Area Blvd. TB215	Houston	TX	77058
Cusizk, Robert	NASA/JSC		
Address unavailable			
Dahlgren, John	NASA/JPL		
4800 Oak Grove Dr.	Pasadena	CA	91109
Dahms, Dennis	NASA/JSC		
MC:DG	Houston	TX	77058

Dailey, Christine	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences
2400 Nasa Rd.1 MC:C90	Houston TX 77058
Davis, Don	Bendix
501 Gemini, MC: B80A	Houston TX 77058
Davis, George W.	Boeing Aerospace
1045 Gemini	Houston TX 77058
Davis, George W.	Boeing Aerospace Operations, Inc.
P.O. Box 320220, M/S: FA-30	Cocoa Beach FL 32932-0220
Davis, Leon	NASA/KSC
	Kennedy Space FL 32899
Davis, Jr., Tom C.	NASA/KSC
PT-AST	Kennedy Space Fl 32780
Davison, Gordon N.	Boeing Aerospace and Electronics
P.O. Box 240002, MC:JX-23	Huntsville Al 35824-6402
DeRyder, Jr., Leonard J.	NASA/LaRC
MS: 288	Hampton VA 23665
DeYulia, John	Booz, Allen, & Hamilton
4330 E. West Hwy.	Bethesda MD 20814
Deatkine, David	NASA/JSC
MC:TC3	Houston TX 77058
Dennard, Michael D.	McDonnell Douglas
16055 Space Center Blvd.	T102 Houston TX 77062
Dewberry, Brandon	NASA/MSFC
MC:EB42	MSFC AL 35812
DiFilippo, Denise	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences
P.O. Box 58561 MC:C-32	Houston TX 77058
Dickey, Bernestein	Lockheed
PO Box 58561, MC: B18	Houston TX 58561
Dickinson, Jacob	McDonnell Douglas
5301 Bolsa Ave.	Huntington Bch CA
Dietz, Reinhold	NASA/JSC
	Houston TX 77058
Digulla, Wendy	McDonnell Douglas
PO Box 21233, MC: F114	Kenn Space Ctr Fl 32815
Dinsmore, Craig	NASA/JSC
Address unavailable	
Doarn, Charles	Krug
1290 Hercules, Suite 120	Houston TX 77058
Dolce, Jim	NASA/LeRC
21000 Brookpark Rd.	Cleveland OH 44135
Dollman, Tom	NASA/MSFC
Address unavailable	
Dominick, Jeff	NASA/JSC
MC: EC2	Houston TX 77058
Dorofee, Audrey J.	MITRE Corp.
1120 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston TX 77058
Douglas, Katherine	NASA/JSC
MC: EH431	Houston TX 77058
Doyle, Dr. Richard	NASA/JPL
4800 Oak Grove Dr. MC:301440	Pasadena CA 91109
Drosdzal, Robert	Boeing Computer Services
10800 Parkridge Blvd., MC: CR22	Reston VA 22091-5418
Dunkelberger, William	USBI
P.O. Box 1900	Huntsville Al 35807
Early, Timothy	NASA/JSC
	Houston TX 77058

Eckelkamp, Rick	NASA/JSC		
MC: FM461	Houston	TX	77058
Edge, J.T.	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Egusquiza, Roberto	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Eisenberg, A.B.	McDonnell Douglas		
1730 Nasa Rd. 1, Ste. 203	Houston	TX	77058
Ellis, Wil	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Engelauf, Karen	Rockwell		
600 Gemini, MC:R16C	Houston	TX	77058
Engvall, John L.	Ford Aerospace		
1322 Space Park Dr.	Houston	TX	77058
Erb, R. Brian	Canadian Space Agency		
JSC/MC:KT	Houston	TX	77058
Erickson, Jon	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Ewan, Peter	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Ewert, Michael	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Farthing, E.D.	Rockwell Space Operations Co.		
600 Gemini Ave., MC:R02H	Houston	TX	77058
Fawcett, Mike	NASA/JSC		
MC:DI	Houston	TX	77058
Federman, Rudolf R.	Wyle Laboratories		
128 Maryland Street	El Segundo	CA	90245-9961
Feeley, Jens	NASA/HQ		
600 Independence Ave.	MC:SF Washington	DC	20546
Fenner, Richard	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Fernquist, Allan	NASA/Ames		
MC: 244-18	Moffett Field	CA	94035
Ferrari, Dan	Martin Marietta Manned Space Syst.		
P.O. Box 29304	New Orleans	La	70189
Finney, David H.	NASA/JSC		
MC:CA3	Houston	TX	77058
Fishkind, Stanley	NASA/HQ		
MC: SI	Washington	DC	20546
Flagg, Hank	NASA/JSC		
MC:AL	Houston		77058
Fleischer, Gerry	NASA/JPL		
4800 Oak Grove Dr.	Pasadena	CA	91109
Fleming, Ken	McDonnell Douglas		
Dept F508	Kenn Space Ctr	FL	32815
Foreman, Myers L.	Lamar University		
P.O. Box 10056	Beaumont	TX	77710
Forsythe, Dwayne	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Fouse, Scott	ISX Corporation		
501 Marin St., #214	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
Fowler, Michael	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Fox, Barry	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems		
1300 Bay Area Blvd. TA18	Houston	TX	77058

Francis, Deborah P.O. Box 58561	Lockheed Houston	TX	77258
Franco, Richard MC:WE3	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Frank, M. Pete 1322 Space Park Drive	Ford Aerospace Corp. Houston	TX	77058
Franz, David G. 16055 Space Center Blvd.,	McDonnell Douglas T106 Houston	TX	77058
Freehling, James 600 Gemini	Rockwell Space Operations Co. Houston	TX	77058
Freeman, Dr. Mike 10701 Parkridge Blvd.	NASA/SSFPO Reston	VA	22091
Freitas, Dr. Chris 6220 Culebra Rd.	Southwest Research Institute San Antonio	TX	78228-0510
Fridge, Ernie MC: FR5	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Friefeld, Jerome M. 6633 Canoga Ave. MC:LA02	Rockwell Intl./Div. of Rocketdyne Canoga	NY	91303
Frisbie, Dick Address unavailable	OSE		
Fulcher, Clay 16055 Space Center Blvd.,	McDonnell Douglas MC: T706Houston	TX	77062
Fullerton, Richard K. MC:DF	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Gaffney, Kelly AFSTC/XLA	AFSTC Kirkland AFB	NM	87117
Gallardo, Marcelo 16511 Space Center Blvd.	Computer Sciences Corporation Houston	TX	77058-2017
Gallegos, J.J. 555 Gemini MC:ZC01	Rockwell Houston	TX	77058
Ganoe, George MS 288	NASA/LaRC Hampton	VA	23665
Garceau, Robert P.O. Box 5000	Harris Space Systems Corp. Rockledge	FL	32955
Garcia, C. 1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Barrios Technology, Inc. Houston	TX	77058
Gaylor, Kent 1020 Bay Area Blvd., Suite 200	LinCom Corp. Houston	TX	77058
Geissler, W.H. 2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Lockheed Engineering Houston	TX	77058
George, Vivian 1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Barrios Technology, Inc. Houston	TX	77058
Gernhardt, Mike L. 1441 Park Ten Blvd.	Oceans System Engineering, Inc. Houston	TX	77084
Gillotti, Chris 595 Gemini, MC:U05A	Unisys Houston	TX	77058
Giusti, Ronald V. 1120 Nasa Rd. 1	MITRE Corp. Houston	TX	77058
Goff, Hugh 16055 Space Center Blvd.,	McDonnell Douglas T105 Houston	TX	77062
Goforth, Monty MC:DI	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Golz, Gerd Dept. PT-PM 2	DLR/German Aerospace Research Est. D-5000 Koeln	WG	
Gonzalez, Miguel 2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Lockheed Engineering Houston	TX	77058

Goode, P. 1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Barrios Technology, Inc. Houston	TX	77058
Goodwin, Mary Ann 600 Gemini, MS: R16F	Rockwell Houston	TX	77058
Gosbee, Dr. John W. 1290 Hercules Dr., Suite 103	Krug Intl. Houston	TX	77058
Gott, Charles MC:FM	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Granahan, John 2200 Space Park Dr.	Hamilton Standard Management Svc. Houston	TX	77058
Grant, Terry	NASA/Ames Moffett Field	CA	94035
Greiner, Sheryl 1100 Hercules, Ste. 300	Intermetrics Houston	TX	77058
Griffin, Charles Address unavailable	NASA/KSC		
Griner, Carolyn S. MC: SU	NASA/HQ Washington	DC	20546
Guderian, Larry 1300 Bay Area Blvd. TA217	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Houston	TX	77058
Guisinger, Roger 16055 Space Center, TA1PC	McDonnell Douglas Houston	TX	77062
Gunter, Robert MC: 55	NASA/SSFPO Kenn Space Ctr	FL	32899
Hack, Edmund C. P.O. Box 58561 MC:C-32	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences Houston	TX	77058
Haddy, Francis J. 4301 Jones Bridge Rd.	USUHS Bethesda	MD	20814
Hamilton, David 3700 Bay Area Blvd., MC 6272	IBM Corp. Houston	TX	77058
Hamilton, Robert J. 3-1, Marunouchi 2-Chome, Chiyoda-ku	Mitsubishi Corp. Tokyo, Japan		100-86
Haney, D. D. 595 Gemini Ave. MC/U08A	Unisys Houston	TX	77058
Hansen, Bert 4800 Oak Grove Dr.	NASA/JPL Pasadena	CA	91109
Hansen, Elaine Campus Box 392	U./Colorado, Lab for Atm&Space Phy Boulder	Co	80309
Hanson, Johna PO Box 85590, MC: DC-8940	General Dynamics San Diego	CA	92138
Hanson, Matt Address unavailable			
Hardgrave, Karen MC:DC3	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Hartley, John Greenbelt Road	NASA/GSFC Greenbelt	MD	20771
Hayati, Dr. Samad 4800 Oak Grove Drive	NASA/JPL Pasadena	CA	91109
Hayden, Lee 595 Gemini, MC:U05A	Unisys Houston	TX	77058
Hazel, Frank J. 2525 Bay Area Blvd., #540	GE Aerospace Houston	TX	77058
Healey, Kathy MC: EF5	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Heath, David W. 15814 Buccaneer Ln.	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77062

Heck, Michael	Analytical Mechanics Assoc., Inc.		
17 Research Rd.	Hampton	VA	23666
Heggstad, Harold M.	MIT Lincoln Laboratory		
244 Wood Street	Lexington	MA	02173
Heindel, Troy	NASA/JSC		
MC: DF24	Houston	TX	77058
Hendrix, Michael	NASA/JSC		
MC:ID3	Houston	TX	77058
Henninger, Donald L.	NASA/JSC		
MC:SN14	Houston	TX	77058
Henry, Paul	NASA/JPL		
4800 Oak Grove Drive	Pasadena	CA	91109
Herberger, Kelly E.	NASA/HQ		
400 Maryland Ave., SW	Washington	DC	20007
Herman, Daniel H.			
1725 Fox Run Ct.	Vienna	VA	22182
Herndon, E.S.	MITRE		
1120 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Hesser, Ronald	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Hewell, J. J.	GE Government Services		
1050 Bay Area Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Hicks, Chester L.	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Hiers, Harry	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Hill, W.L.	CSC		
116511 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Hirsch, Guy	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems		
1300 Bay Area Blvd. TA215	Houston	TX	77058
Hite, Greg	NASA/JSC		
MC:DM	Houston	TX	77058
Hixon, David J.	NASA/SSFPO		
10701 Park Ridge Blvd.	Reston	VA	22091
Hoang, Phuong-Dung	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Hodge, Graham L.	Carleton Technologies, Inc.		
10 Cobham Drive	Orchard Park	NY	14127
Hodge, John D.	J.D. Hodge & Co.		
1105 Challedon Rd.	Great Falls	VA	22066
Hoey, John	Rockwell		
11607 Onyx St.	Cypress	CA	90630
Holkan, Robert	NASA/JSC		
MC:DG	Houston	TX	77058
Holladay, Wendy	NASA/Stennis		
Address unavailable			
Holt, Alan	NASA/SSFPO		
10701 Parkridge Blvd., MC:	SSU-1 Reston	VA	22091
Homol, Pete	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Hopkins, P.M.	Lockheed Engineering		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Houston	TX	77058
Horz, Fred	NASA/JSC		
MC:SA	Houston	TX	77058
Hua, Grace	Computer Sciences Corporation		
16511 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058-2017

Huber, Bill	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Huber, Henry V.	NASA/JSC		
MC:SE2	Houston	TX	77058
Huckins, III, Dr. Earle K.	NASA/HQ		
Code ST	Washington	DC	20546
Hueter, Uwe	NASA/MSFC		
Address unavailable			
Hughes, Frank	NASA/JSC		
MC:DG	Houston	TX	77058
Hull, Dr. Larry	NASA/GSFC		
Greenbelt Road	Greenbelt	MD	20771
Humphrey, Ted	NASA/JSC		
MC:FR4	Houston	TX	77058
Humphrey, Terry	NASA/JSC		
MC: EH421	Houston	TX	77058
Hungerford, William	NASA/JSC		
MC:A1141	Houston	TX	77058
Huntoon, Carolyn L.	NASA/JSC		
MC:SA	Houston	TX	77058
Huntsman, David	NASA/SSFPO		
10701 Parkridge Blvd.	Reston	VA	22091
Huynh, Anh	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Hwang, C. James	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2400 Nasa RD. 1 MC:C-32	Houston	TX	77058
Jaax, James	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Jackson, Robert	Space Station Projects	MSFC/NASA	
KA01	Huntsville	AL	35812
Jackson, William	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Jacobs, Steve	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Jadwick, James	Rockwell		
Address unavailable			
Jain, Ramesh	Environmental Research Inst. MI		
P.O. Box 8618	Ann Arbor	MI	48107
Jani, Yashzant			
Address unavailable			
Janson, III, Gotthard	GE Government Services		
1050 Bay Area Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Jelatis, Dr. Demetrius G.	Central Research Laboratories		
	Red Wing	MN	55066
Johnson, Bradley A.	CTA Incorporated		
6116 Executive Blvd., #800	Rockville	MD	20852
Johnson, Dr. Marjorie	RIACS		
NASA/Ames Research Center	MC:230-5Moffett Field	CA	94035
Johnson, Roger	SAI Tech		
4224 Campus Point Ct.	San Diego	CA	92121
Johnson, Sally	NASA/LaRC		
	Hampton	VA	23665
Johnston, Richard	NASA Ret'd.		
311 Green Tree Dr.	Pearland	TX	77581
Jones, A. K.	IBM Corp.		
3700 Bay Area Blvd., MC 3150	Houston	TX	77058

Jones, Howard	Mechanical Technology, Inc.		
968 Albany-Shaker Rd.	Latham	NY	12110
Jordan, Mike	MAC-DAC		
5301 Bolsa Ave.	Huntington Bea	CA	92647
Kain, Robert	NASA/JSC		
MC:TD	Houston	TX	77058
Kaltenbach, John	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2400 Nasa Rd.1 MC:C90	Houston	TX	77058
Kao, C.	GE Government Services		
1050 Bay Area Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Katzberg, Steve	NASA/LaRC		
	Hampton	VA	23665
Kaupp, Henry	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Kavka, Michael	GE Astrospace		
PO Box 800	Princeton	NJ	08543-0800
Kearney, Michael	NASA/JSC		
MC:FS	Houston	TX	77058
Kehoe, Robert	NASA/JSC		
MC:JH2	Houston	TX	77058
Kell, T.R.	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Kelly, Christine M.	MITRE Corp.		
1120 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Kelly, Dana	NASA/JSC		
MC:DI	Houston	TX	77058
Kennedy, Amy	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Kennedy, Jerry	TRW		
1110 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Kessinger, Richard	Planning Research Corp.		
2200 Space Park Dr., Suite 200	Houston	TX	77058
Kish, Jim	NASA/LeRC		
21000 Brookpark Rd.	Cleveland	OH	44135
Kliesing, Julie	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Kloves, Tom	Grumman Corp.		
12000 Aerospace	Houston	TX	77034
Klusendorf, Roy	Spar Aerospace		
6384 Via Real	Caxpinteria	CA	93013-2993
Kohrs, Mr. Richard H.	NASA/HQ		
	Washington	DC	20546
Kolecki, Joe	NASA/LeRC		
Address unavailable			
Konkel, Carl	Teledyne Brown		
300 Sparkman Dr., MS:162	Huntsville	AL	35807
Koontz, Robert P.	NASA/SSFPO		
10701 Parkridge Blvd. MC:SSR	Reston	VA	22091
Kosmo, Joseph	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Kowitz, Herb	NASA		
Langley Research Center	Hampton	VA	23665
Kozick, Jr., William E.	Computer Sciences Corp.		
16511 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Krishen, Kumar	NASA/JSC		
MC:FA	Houston	TX	77058

Krog, Ralph L.	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1 MC:C-32	Houston	TX	77058
Kruest, A.	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Krupp, Joseph	DSA		
1110 N. Glebe Rd., Ste. 400	Arlington	VA	22201
Kuo, Y.M.	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Kurtzman, Dr. Clifford R.	Space Industries Intl., Inc.		
711 W. Bay Area Blvd., #320	Webster	TX	77598-4001
Kyle, Bobby	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Lamar, David	NASA/JSC		
MC:IZ5	Houston	TX	77058
Lambert, Hal	NASA/JSC		
MC:TA	Houston	TX	77058
Lamoreux, James	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Landisman, Jessica	Rockwell		
600 Gemini, MC:R16C	Houston	TX	77058
Lane, Carol	Lockheed		
P.O. Box 3504, Org. 50-51, Bldg102	Sunnyvale	CA	94088-3504
Larsen, Curtis	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Lawler, Dennis	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Lawson, B. Michael	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Lazarus, Nancy	General Electric		
1800 Volusia Ave.	Daytona Beach	FL	32114
Lenoir, Dr. William B.	NASA/HQ		
	Washington	DC	20546
Leopold, Lou	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Lewis, Chuck	NASA/JSC		
MC:DA4	Houston	TX	77058
Lewis, James L.	NASA/JSC		
MC:SP	Houston	TX	77058
Lewis, Kevin W.	NASA/JSC		
MC: FM7	Houston	TX	77058
Lex Ray, A.M.	Martin Marietta		
2525 Bay Area Blvd., Ste. 490	Houston	TX	77058-1530
Lin, Chin	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Lindberg, Andy	Lockheed		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC: CO2	Houston	TX	77058
Lineberger, Robin	KPMG Peter Marwick		
Address unavailable			
Livingston, Dr. Linda	UT at Houston		
Address unavailable			
Llewellyn, Charles P.	Analytical Mechanics Assoc.(AMA)		
17 Research Rd.	Hampton	VA	23666
Lloyd, Chuck	NASA/JSC		
MC:SA	Houston	TX	77058
Lobb, Mary Margaret	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058

Loomis, Audrey	CSC		
4061 Powdermill Rd.	Calcerton	MD	20705
Loos, Jim	Lockheed Missiles & Space		
1111 Lockheed Way, MC:53-01/580	Sunnyvale	CA	94086
Lovelace, Travis	MITRE		
1120 Nasa Rd. 1, FD/MITRE	Houston	TX	77058
Lowell, D.K.	Unisys		
600 Gemini MC:U03A	Houston	TX	77058
Luethy, Ralph	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Lum, Dr. Henry	NASA/Ames		
	Moffett Field	CA	94035
Lundstrom, Stephen F.	PARSA		
P.O. Box 9535	Stanford	CA	94309
Lundy, Alfred	NASA/JSC		
MC:DM	Houston	TX	77058
MacDonald, Robert	NASA/JSC		
MC:FA2	Houston	TX	77058
Malin, Jane	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Manering, Mark	McDonnell Douglas		
5301 Bolsa Ave. MC:J807/178	Huntington Bea	CA	92647
Marah, Steve	Krug Intl.		
1290 Hercules Dr., Suite 120	Houston	TX	77058
Mariani, Don	Lockheed		
Address unavailable			
Marks, Bob	Bendix		
501 Gemini, MC: B80A	Houston	TX	77058
Marsh, Christopher A.	MITRE Corp.		
1120 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Marston, Mike	NASA/JSC		
MC:EE	Houston	TX	77058
Martin, Deborah A.	McDonnell Douglas, Space Systems		
7375 Executive Place	Seabrook	MD	20706-0001
Martinec, John	IBM Corp.		
3700 Bay Area Blvd. MC3206B	Houston	TX	77058
Mathes, Karen	Krug Intl.		
1290 Hercules Dr., Suite 120	Houston	TX	77058
Matthews, Larry	Grumman Corp.		
12000 Aerospace Ave.	Houston	TX	77034
Mattson, Frank K.	Martin Marietta Corp.		
2101 Executive Dr.-Tower Box 81	Hampton	VA	23666-2404
Maxson, Judy	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Mayo, Dick	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
McAvin, Don C.	WYLE Lab., Scientific Svc & Syst.		
PO#077777, 7800 Governors	Dr.S.W. Huntsville	AL	35807-7777
McBarron, James	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
McBride, Jim	Johnson Engineering Corporation		
1290 Hercules, Ste. 201	Houston	TX	77058
McBride, John	SofTech, Inc.		
1300 Hercules Dr., Suite 105	Houston	TX	77058
McCain, Dr. Harry	NASA/GSFC		
Greenbelt Road	Greenbelt	MD	20771

McCain, Harry	NASA/GSFC		
Address unavailable			
McCormac, Jenny	Unisys		
595 Gemini, MC:U05A	Houston	TX	77058
McCullough, Clay	NASA/JSC		
MC:VP	Houston	TX	77058
McCutchen, Don	NASA/JSC		
MC:TD	Houston	TX	77058
McFalls, David	Southwest Research Institute		
P.O. Drawer 28510	San Antonio	TX	78228-0510
McGrath, Debra S.	MITRE Corp.		
1120 Nasa Rd.1	Houston	TX	77058
McGreevy, Dr. Mike	NASA/Ames		
MS 239-3	Moffett Field	CA	94035
McKinley, Ph.D., Bruce	Krug International		
1290 Hercules Dr., Suite 120	Houston	TX	77058
McNeely, Maurice	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems		
1300 Bay Area Blvd. TA12	Houston	TX	77058
Melebeck, C.J.	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Mellor, Pamela	NASA/LeRC		
21000 Brookpark Rd.	Cleveland	OH	44135
Meredith, Barry D.	NASA/LaRC		
	Hampton	VA	23665
Merryman, Stephen	Ford Aerospace		
915 Richvale Lane	Houston	TX	77062
Miller, Gary	Intel Corp.		
7322 SW Fwy, Ste. 1490	Houston	TX	77074
Miller, T.	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Moblin, John	IBM		
3700 Bay Area Blvd., MC: 6206A	Houston	TX	77058
Mockovciak Jr., John	Grumman Corp.		
12000 Aerospace Ave.	Houston	TX	77034
Monis, Richard	Harris Corp.		
6801 Jericho Turnpike	Syosset	NY	11791
Moore, Coleman	NASA/HQ		
	Washington	DC	
Moore, James	NASA/JSC		
MC:IA141	Houston	TX	77058
Moore, John E.	Lockheed Engineering		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Houston	TX	77058
Morgan, Lois W.	MITRE Corp.		
1120 Nasa Rd.1	Houston	TX	77058
Moser, Thomas	McDonnell Douglas		
1735 Jeff Davis Hwy., Suite 1200	Arlington	VA	22202
Mueller, Stephen	Computer Sciences Corporation		
16511 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058-2017
Munday, Stephen	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Munoz, Nancy	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Muratore, John	NASA/JSC		
MC: DF11	Houston	TX	77058
Murphy, Diane	NASA/JSC		
MC: TM2	Houston	TX	77058

Murray, Nick	NASA/LaRC		
	Hampton	VA	23665
Musial, Wayne	McDonnell Douglas		
16055 Space Center Blvd.	MC:T708 Houston	TX	77058
Myers, Robert M.	The MITRE Corp.		
1120 NASA Rd.1	Houston	TX	77058
Neeley, J.C.	Lockheed Engineering		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Houston	TX	77058
Neely, Mike	CTA		
6116 Executive Blvd., Suite 800	Rockville	MD	20852
Nelson, Bob	NASA/SSFPO		
10701 Parkridge Blvd., MC: SSS-4	Reston	VA	22091
Neumann, Paul	NASA/SSFPO		
10701 Parkridge Blvd.	Reston	VA	22091
Newlander, Bob	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Ngo, John	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Nguyen, Hai	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Nguyen, Lac	Computer Sciences Corporation		
16511 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058-2017
Nguyen, Thuy	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1 MC:C-32	Houston	TX	77058
Nichols, Roger D.	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Co		
689 Discovery Dr.	Huntsville	AL	35806
Nicks, Oran	Texas A & M University		
Space Research Center	College Statio	TX	77843-3118
Nicogossian, Dr. Arnauld E.	NASA/HQ		
Code EB	Washington	DC	20546
Nieberding, J. J.	NASA/LeRC		
21000 Brookpark Rd., MS 501-6	Cleveland	OH	44135
Nitschke, Harold	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Noakes, Herbert	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Noblin, J. B.	IBM		
3700 Bay Area Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Nored, Donald	NASA/LeRC		
MC:500-221			
Norris, Roger	McDonnell Douglas		
6031 Irongate Circle	Huntington Bea	CA	92648
Novosad, Sidney	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
O'Connor, Robert	KPMG/Peat Marwick		
2001 M Street, NW.	Washington	DC	20036
O'Neil, Graham	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
O'Rourke, Mike	Unisys		
600 Gemini MC:U03A	Houston	TX	77058
Ochoa, Dr. Ellen	NASA/Ames		
MC: 244-4	Moffett Field	CA	94035
Olsson, Eric	Lockheed		
Address unavailable			
Ondler, Matthew	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058

Ong, Albert	NASA/JSC		
MC:TC3	Houston	TX	77058
Overland, David	NASA/JSC		
MC:EE	Houston	TX	77058
Pack, Ginger	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Paine, Thomas	Thomas Paine Associates		
2401 Colorado Ave., Suite 178	Santa Monica	CA	90404
Palmer, Gordon	Harris Corporation		
PO Box 98000	Melbourne	FL	32902
Panneton, Robert	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Parkinson, T.W.	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems		
5301 Bolsa Ave.	Huntington Bea	CA	92647
Parlos, Alexander			
Address unavailable			
Parrott, Wayne			
16055 Space Park Dr.	Houston	TX	77062
Patterson-Hines, Ann	NASA/Ames		
Address unavailable			
Pausback, Nick	Johnson Engineering Corporation		
1290 Hercules, Ste. 201	Houston	TX	77058
Payne, Robert W.	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2400 Nasa Rd.1 MC:C23	Houston	TX	77058
Peach, Jr., Lewis L.	NASA/HQ		
Code ST	Washington	DC	20546
Pearson, Barbara	NASA/JSC		
MC:DG	Houston	TX	77058
Peck, John	NASA/JSC		
MC:VP	Houston	TX	77058
Pelnik, Tammy	The MITRE Corp.		
7525 Colshire Dr.	McLean	VA	22102
Penna, Robert	Unisys		
600 Geminin MC:U10A	Houston	TX	77058
Perez, Leopoldo F.	NASA/SSFPF		
10701 Parkridge Boulevard, SSU-1	Reston	VA	22091
Perner, Chris D.	NASA/JSC		
MC:SP	Houston	TX	77058
Perry, Bill	Bendix		
501 Gemini, MC: B80A	Houston	TX	77058
Peter, Gene	NASA/JSC		
MC:DP	Houston	TX	77058
Peterson, Don	AOC, Inc. (Grumman Corp.)		
12000 Aerospace Ave.	Houston	TX	77034
Phillips, David	TRW		
555 Executive Dr. #66	Huntsville	AL	35816
Phillips, P.E., Paul G.	Eagle Engineering, Inc.		
16915 El Camino Real, #200	Houston	TX	77058
Piassoli, John	Bendix		
501 Gemini, MC: B80A	Houston	TX	77058
Pierre, Sharon	Lockheed		
PO Box 58561	Houston	TX	77528
Plowman, Catherine	Lockheed Engineering		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:B/12	Houston	TX	77058
Plumb, Allan	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058

Poole, Ed	IBM		
3700 Bay Area Blvd., MC:5272B	Houston	TX	77058
Price, R. A.	Unisys		
595 Gemini Ave. MC/U08A	Houston	TX	77058
Primaeaux, Gary R.	NASA/JSC		
MC:SE	Houston	TX	77058
Pritchard, E. Brian	NASA/LaRC		
	Hampton	VA	23665
Pullen, Jeannie	Computer Sciences Corp.		
16511 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Putcha, M.S.	IBM		
3700 Bay Area Blvd., MC: 5272B	Houston	TX	77058
Racine, Roger	Draper		
Address unavailable			
Ramirez, Mario	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Ramsell, Richard	NASA/JSC		
MC:IB	Houston	TX	77058
Ramsey, William	CTA, Inc.		
6116 Executive Blvd., Suite 800	Rockville	MD	20852
Rando, Ignazio	Lockheed Engineering		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Houston	TX	77058
Raney, Dr. William P.	NASA/HQ		
600 Independence Ave, SW	Code S Washington	DC	20546
Rankin, J. Gary	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Ray, A.M.	Martin Marietta		
2525 Bay Area Blvd., Suite 490	Houston	TX	77058
Read, David R.	Lamar University		
P.O. Box 10056	Beaumont	TX	77710
Redd, Bass	Eagle Engineering		
16915 El Camino Real, #200	Houston	TX	77058
Redtke, Robert	Tracor Applied Sciences		
9810 FM1960 Bypass-270	Humble	TX	77338
Reiley, Keith	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Restemyer, Kelley	NASA/JSC		
MC:DC3	Houston	TX	77058
Reuter, Gerald	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Reveley, Paul	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Reyes, Reuben	NASA/JSC		
MC:FD	Houston	TX	77058
Rhodes, Marvin	NASA/LaRC		
	Hampton	VA	23665
Rhome, Robert C.	NASA/HQ		
	Washington	DC	20546
Richards, Fred	MITRE		
1120 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston,	TX	77058
Richmond, Al	Boeing Aerospace and Electronics		
PO Box 58747	MS: HC17 Houston	TX	77258
Ringer, Mark	NASA/LeRC		
21000 Brookpark Rd. MC:301-3	Cleveland	OH	44135
Robertson, Charlie	McDonnell Douglas		
16055 Space Park Dr., TB2MG	Houston	TX	77062

Robinson, Dr. Arthur S. 1035 Sterling Rd., #101	System/Technology Development Corp Herndon VA 22070
Rodriguez, Manuel	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Rogers, Benjamin L. 16511 Space Center Blvd.	Computer Sciences Corp. Houston TX 77058
Rollins, John Address unavailable	McDonnell Douglas
Romig, James MC:KA	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Rosenberg, David 501 Marin St., #214	ISX Corporation Thousand Oaks CA 91360
Ross, Michael L. 2400 Nasa Rd. 1	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences Houston TX 77058
Rouen, Michael MC: EC 321	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Rowe, Dr. Ian H. 1700 Ormont Drive	Spar Aeospace Limited Weston, Ontari M9L 2W7
Rowley, Robin MC:KA	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Rushing, Doug 1290 Hercules Dr., Suite 120	Krug International Houston TX 77058
Ryland, Warren Address unavailable	Lockheed
Saito, Tim 16511 Space Center Blvd.	Computer Sciences Corporation Houston TX 77058-2017
Salkowski, Charles	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Samen, Robert	Univerity of Houston Houston TX
Sanderson, Sharon MC:EE	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Saucillo, Rudy 1222 Jefferson Davis Hwy.	McDonnell Douglas Arlington VA 22202
Saunders, Penny	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Savely, Bob MC: FR5	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Schell, Richard G. 6116 Executive Blvd., Suite 800	Computer Technology Assoc., Inc. Rockville MD 20852
Schentrup, Susan	NASA/JSC Houston TX 77058
Scholz, Art 1045 Gemini	Boeing Aerospace Houston TX 77058
Schreckenghost, Debra L. 1120 Nasa Rd. 1	MITRE Corp. Houston TX 77058
Schroeder, Gil 1111 Lockheed Way, Org. 53/50, #580	Sunnyvale CA 94089-3504
Schulenburg, Nielson 2350 East El Segundo Blvd.	Aerospace Corporation El Segundo CA 90245-4691
Schultz, Vincent 4330 East West Hwy.	Booz, Allen, & Hamilton, Inc. Bethesda MD 20814
Scott, Pat A. 3700 Bay Area Blvd. MC3206B	IBM Corp. Houston TX 77058-1199
Scott, Ronald D. 18333 Egret Bay Blvd., Suite 270	Scott Associates Houston TX 77058

Seastrom, Dale	Ford Aerospace Corp.		
1760 Business Center Dr.	Reston	VA	22090
Seibert, William	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Shaker, Doug	Intellicorp		
Address unavailable			
Shankar, Doc	IBM		
3700 Bay Area Blvd., MC: 6272	Houston	TX	77058
Shaw, Mike	Bendix		
501 Gemini, MC: B80A	Houston	TX	77058
Shay, R.W.	Harris Corp.		
P.O. Box 94000	Melbourne	FL	32902
Sheema, Dr. David	Boeing Advanced Technology Center		
Address unavailable			
Shelley, Carl	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Shinkle, Gerald	NASA/JSC		
MC:DI	Houston	TX	77058
Siedlecki, Joseph T.	NASA/SSFPO		
10701 Parkridge Blvd. MC:SSS-21	Reston	VA	22091
Simberg, Rand	Rockwell		
12214 Lakewood Blvd.	Downey	CA	90241
Simon, Bill	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Sistilli, Mark	NASA/HQ		
MC: EM	Washington	DC	
Sklar, Dr. Michael	McDonnell Douglas		
Kennedy Space Center			
Slazer, Frank	McDonnell Douglas		
1225 Jeff Davis Hwy., Ste. 800	Arlington	VA	22202
Smith, Dr. Jeffrey	NASA/JPL		
4800 Oak Grove Drive	Pasadena	CA	91109
Smith, E.C.	NASA/MSFC		
Address unavailable			
Smith, Earl	Bendix		
501 Gemini, MC: B80A	Houston	TX	77058
Smith, Elizabeth	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Smith, Scott	KRUG International		
1290 Hercules, Suite 120	Houston	TX	77058
Snoddy, William	NASA/MSFC		
PA01	MSF Center	AL	35812
Sohus, A.	NASA/SSFPO		
10701 Parkridge Blvd.	Reston	VA	22091
Sowizral, Dr. Henry	RIACS		
NASA/Ames Research Center	MC:230-5Moffett Field	CA	94035
Sparn, Thomas	U./Colorado, Lab for Atm&Space Phy		
Campus Box 392	Boulder	CO	80309
Spehar, P.T.	Lockheed Engineering		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1, MC:C87	Houston	TX	77058
Spilker, Thomas W.	Nebraska Tech. Asst. Cntr, U. Neb.		
W-191 Nebraska Hall	Lincoln	NE	68588-0535
Spitzer, J. F.	MITRE Corp.		
1120 Nasa Rd.1	Houston	TX	77058
Springer, Robert	NASA/JSC		
MC:CB	Houston	TX	77058

Staehle, Robert L.	NASA/JPL		
4800 Oak Grove Dr. MC:601-237	Pasadena	CA	91109
Stalnaker, Rick	Bendix		
501 Gemini, MC: B80A	Houston	TX	77058
Starsman, Raymond E.	NASA/JPL		
10701 Parkridge Blvd.	Reston	VA	22091
Steele, Gail	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Stevenson, L.D.	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Stevenson, Steve	NASA/LeRC		
21000 Brookpark Rd.	Cleveland	OH	44135
Stewart, Aubrey	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems		
1300 Bay Area Blvd. TB2FD	Houston	TX	77058
Stewart, Wallace	NASA/JSC		
MC:FA2	Houston	TX	77058
Stockman, Patti	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Stoner, Jerry	Bendix		
501 Gemini, MC: B80A	Houston	TX	77058
Straight, Bill	Lockheed Missile		
1150 Gemini	Houston	TX	77058
Stramler, James	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Strong, Ed	NASA/JSC		
MC:KA	Houston	TX	77058
Sullivan, Tim	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems		
1300 Bay Area Blvd. TB2EC	Houston	TX	77058
Sunkel, John	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Svedeman, Steve	Southwest Research Institute		
6220 Culebra Rd.	San Antonio	TX	78228-0510
Swietek, Gregg	NASA/HQ		
	Washington	DC	20546
Swim, Ronald K.	Rockwell		
600 Gemini MC:ROLE	Houston	TX	77058
Sylvester, Andre	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Sylvester, Andrew	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Taylor, Eric	Ford Aerospace		
1322 Space Park Dr.	Houston	TX	77258
Taylor, James A.	NASA/JSC		
MC:SP	Houston	TX	77058
Taylor, S. Harold	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Teixeira, Charles	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Theobald, R.T.	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences		
2400 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston	TX	77058
Thomas, Donald	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Thompson, Clark	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems		
1300 Bay Area Blvd. TB2ED	Houston	TX	77058
Thorne, Jim	AFSTC		
AFSTC/XLA	Kirkland AFB	NM	87117

Thrasher, David L.	Boeing Aerospace and Electronics		
P.O. Box 240002, M/S: JX-23	Huntsville	Al	35824-6402
Thrift, T.	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Tiedt, Earl	NASA/JSC		
MC:ID3	Houston	TX	77058
Tilford, Dr. Shelby G.	Geostationary Obs, Mission/Planet		
	Washington	DC	20546
Tilley, Mike	NASA/GSFC		
Greenbelt Road	Greenbelt	MD	20771
Tones, Kevin	NASA/JSC		
MC:DP	Houston	TX	77058
Torres, Candy	U.H.C.L.		
1243 Bay Area Blvd. #1706	Houston	TX	77058
Townsend, Mack	Alliant Computer Sytems		
5600 NW Central Dr., Suite 106	Houston	TX	77092
Tran, James	Lockheed		
1500 Bay Area Blvd. Unit 209C	Houston	TX	77058
Tri, Terry	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Troutman, Pat	NASA		
Langley Research Center	Hampton	VA	23665
Tsao, Yow-min	Krug International		
1290 Hercules Dr., Suite 120	Houston	TX	77058
Tucker, Michael	NASA/MSFC		
Address unavailable			
Valrand, Carlos B.	IBM/Systems Integration Division		
3700 Bay Area Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Van Blois, BGen	USAF		
PO Box 92960 MC: SSD/CR	Los Angeles	CA	90009-2960
Vanzillo, Mark	Space Biosphere Venture		
P.O. Box 689	Oracle	AZ	85623
Vargo, Rick	McDonnell Douglas		
P.O. Box 21233,	Dept F508 Kennedy Space	FL	32815
Vaughan, Chester	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Vauman, Michael	Unisys		
600 Gemini MC:U10A	Houston	TX	77058
Verrengia, Tony	GE Government Services		
1050 Bay Area Blvd.	Houston	TX	77058
Vigneron, Frank	Canadian Space Agency		
Box 11490, Station H	Ottawa, Canada	K2H852	
Visentine, James	NASA/JSC		
	Houston	TX	77058
Vrana, Steven	Barrios Technology, Inc.		
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston	TX	77058
Waggoner, Sharon	McDonnell Douglas		
16055 Space Center Blvd.	Houston	TX	77062
Walden, Sherry	Inference Corporation		
5300 W. Century Blvd., 7th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90045
Waldman, J. Mel	Lockheed		
P.O. Box 3504, Bldg. 580 (53-05)	Sunnyvale	CA	94088-3504
Walker, David M.	NASA/JSC		
MC:CB	Houston	TX	77058
Walls, Bryan	NASA/MSFC		
Address unavailable			

Walter, P. 1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Barrios Technology, Inc. Houston	TX	77058
Walton, Barbara A. Greenbelt Road	NASA/GSFC, Code 420 Greenbelt	MD	20771
Walton, Bradford Suite 802, 1755 Jefferson	Dynamics Research Davis HwArlington	VA	22202
Wang, Jack J. 3 Greenway Plaza	M.W.Kellogg(Student) Houston	TX	77046
Ward, Brenda L. MC:CA3	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Waters, Laura 17 Research Rd.	Analytical Mechanics Assoc., Inc. Hampton	VA	23666
Watson, John 16915 El Camino Real, #200	Eagle Engineering, Inc. Houston	TX	77058
Weeks, Dave Address unavailable	NASA/MSFC		
Wegeris, Dan Address unavailable	McDonnell Douglas		
Weiss, Stan Address unavailable	Lockheed		
Welborne, Curtis MC:FS7	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Wells, Joseph A. 2621 W. Airport Freeway, Suite 200	General Electric-Aerospace Irving	TX	75062
Welsh, Sam 5600 NW Central Dr., Suite 106	Alliant Computer Systems Houston	TX	77092
West, Philip	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Wheeler, Charles W. 16511 Space Center Blvd.	Computer Sciences Corp. Houston	TX	77058
White, Jim 1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Barrios Technology, Inc. Houston	TX	77058
White, Jimmy 501 Gemini, MC: B80A	Bendix Houston	TX	77058
White, Timothy T. MC:SA	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
White, Wes 1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Barrios Technology, Inc. Houston	TX	77058
Wieland, Paul Address unavailable	NASA/MSFC		
Wilcox, Brian 4800 Oak Grove Drive	NASA/JPL Pasadena	CA	91109
Wiley, Melissa 655 15th N.W., Suite 860	Mitsubishi Washington	DC	20005
Wilkinson, Dr. M. Justin 2400 Nasa Rd.1 MC:C23	Lockheed Engineering & Sciences Houston	TX	77058
Will, Ralph	NASA/LaRC Hampton	VA	23665
Williams, Tom 600 Gemini, MC:R16C	Rockwell Houston	Tx	77058
Wilson, John 1100 Hercules Dr., Suite 300	Intermetrics Houston	TX	77058
Winkler, Gary	NASA/JSC Houston	TX	77058
Wissinger, Brad 1300 Bay Area Blvd. TB16	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Houston	Tx	77058

Wolfe, William R.	Space Station Program Repres.
P.O. Box 8555, N7223	Philadelphia PA 19101
Wong, Moses	Spar Aerospace Limited
1235 Ormont Drive	Weston, Ontario M9L 2W6
Woolley, Charles	NASA/JSC
MC:IB	Houston TX 77058
Workman, Gary	University of Alabama
South Loop Rd.	Huntsville AL 35899
Yan, Jerry	NASA/Ames
MS 244-4	Moffett Field CA 94035
Yeo, Joseph	NASA/JSC
	Houston TX 77058
Young, Dr. Larry	MIT
Address unavailable	
Young, Wayne	NASA/JSC
MC:BA	Houston TX 77058
Zears, Russell	MITRE
1120 Nasa Rd. 1	Houston TX 77058
Zernic, Michael J.	NASA/LERC
MS 500-316	Cleveland OH 44135
Zimmerman, Wayne	NASA/HQ
	Washington DC 20546
Zingrebe, K.	Barrios Technology, Inc.
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston TX 77058
Zoerner, G.	Barrios Technology, Inc.
1331 Gemini, Ste. 3	Houston TX 77058
Zweben, Monte	NASA/Ames
M/S 244-17	Moffett Field CA 94035-1000
Zyla, Lou	McDonnell Douglas Space Systems
1300 Bay Area Blvd. TA27	Houston TX 77058

ADDENDUM

Alcorn Dr. George

Bauman Michael Unisys
600 Gemini MC:U10A Houston TX 77058

Brown Barbara NASA/KSC
Kenn Space Ctr F1 32899

Davis Leon NASA/KSC
MC: DM-MED-12 Kenn Space Ctr. F1 32899

Drews Michael NASA/JPL
4800 Oak Grove Dr. Pasadena CA 91109

Fouse Scott ISX, Inc.

Friefeld J.M. Rocketdyne
Canoga Park CA

Haruyama Yukio Nat'l Space Dev. Agency of Japan

Holiman Chuck NASA/HQ
Washington DC 20546

Huynh A.H. NASA/JSC

Jadwick James Rockwell
600 Gemini, MC: R02H Houston TX 77058

O'Handley Douglas

Olsson Eric Lockheed
2400 Nasa Rd.1, MC: C70 Houston TX 77058

Raine Thomas

Tan T.D. Lockheed
Houston TX

ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY